Transaction Tokens

Updates in draft 01
Transaction Tokens

- Token internal to a given trust boundary
- Maintains the immutable context of a transaction
  - Subject
  - Context
  - Authorization Details
- Shared across multiple workloads
- Allows for “down-scoping” a transaction at the edge
- Supports finer-grained authorization
Restructured the Txn-Token Format section

- Re-organized the section
- Returned to a simple `sub` claim which MUST be unique within the context of the trust domain as defined by the `aud` claim
- `iss` claim is now optional
- Added section on how to manage a set or requesting workloads if one or more replacement Txn-Tokens are obtained
Requesting workload identifiers

Treat the `req_wl` claim a bit like an XFF header

If only one requesting workload, the value is a StringOrURI

If a replacement Txn-Token is requested, then convert the `req_wl` claim to an array and append the new requesting workload identifier

```
"req_wl": [ "apigateway.trust-domain.example", "workload3.trust-domain.example" ]
```
Txn-Token Request (section 7.1)

- Clarified that Txn-Tokens can be requested for both external driven transactions as well as internally driven ones
- Clarified that the subject_token and subject_token_type parameters are required but can contain simple values
- Clarified in section 7.1 the profile of RFC 8693 (Token Exchange)
Txn-Token Request Processing (section 7.2)

New section defining processing rules for the Transaction Token Service (TTS)

- Requesting workload authentication required
- Subject_token validation required
- The TTS must ensure the requesting workload is authorized to obtain the requested Txn-Token
- Provide flexibility for the TTS to make authorization decisions about what claim in the `request_context` and `request_details` should be put in the Txn-Token
Txn-Token Response (section 7.3)

- Clarified the profiling of RFC 8693 (Token Exchange)
- `token_type` must be set to N_A
- `issued_token_type` must be set to `urn:ietf:params:oauth:token-type:txn-token`
Creating replacement Txn-Tokens (section 7.4)

- Updated text to clarify that both the `sub` and `aud` claims MUST NOT be changed
- Added text to require the requesting workload identifier be added to the `req_wl` claim in the `rctx` object
Using Txn-Tokens (section 8)

- Added a section describing the presentation of the Txn-Token in an HTTP header for HTTP based requests

Question:

- Should we register a new HTTP header for passing the Txn-Token for HTTP requests?
Security Considerations

Added section 9.3 - Client Authentication

● Adds some guidance if the Token Exchange `actor_token` and `actor_token_type` parameters are used for client authentication.

● How a requesting workload authenticates to the Transaction Token Service is out of scope for this specification
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