



WG Document Status

Compiled By: Vishnu Pavan Beeram, Lou Berger and Oscar Gonzalez de Dios



TEAS WG - IETF 119 Brisbane - March 2024

WG Documents

- draft-ietf-teas-5g-network-slice-application
- draft-ietf-teas-5g-ns-ip-mpls
- draft-ietf-teas-actn-pm-telemetry-autonomics^{YDR}
- draft-ietf-teas-actn-poi-applicability^{On Agenda}
- draft-ietf-teas-actn-vn-yang^{YDR, Post WG-LC}
- draft-ietf-teas-actn-yang^{Expired}
- draft-ietf-teas-applicability-actn-slicing^{Post WG-LC}
- draft-ietf-teas-enhanced-vpn^{Pub Req}
- draft-ietf-teas-gmpls-controller-inter-work^{Pub Req}
- draft-ietf-teas-ietf-network-slice-nbi-yang^{On Agenda}
- draft-ietf-teas-ietf-network-slice-use-cases^{Expired}
- draft-ietf-teas-nrp-scalability
- draft-ietf-teas-nrp-yang
- draft-ietf-ns-controller-models
- draft-ietf-teas-ns-ip-mpls
- draft-ietf-teas-pcecc-use-cases^{Pub Req}
- draft-ietf-teas-rfc8776-update^{WG-LC}
- draft-ietf-teas-sf-aware-topo-model^{YDR}
- draft-ietf-teas-te-service-mapping-yang^{YDR}
- draft-ietf-teas-te-topo-and-tunnel-modeling^{Expired}
- draft-ietf-teas-yang-l3-te-topo^{YDR}
- draft-ietf-teas-yang-path-computation^{YDR, Post WG-LC}
- draft-ietf-teas-yang-rsvp^{YDR}
- draft-ietf-teas-yang-rsvp-te^{YDR, Expired}
- draft-ietf-teas-yang-sr-te-topo^{YDR}
- draft-ietf-teas-yang-te^{YDR, Post WG-LC}
- draft-ietf-teas-yang-te-mpls^{YDR}
- draft-ietf-teas-yang-te-mpls-topology

draft-ietf-teas-5g-network-slice-application

■ From: **None**

■ No new revision [Current Rev: 02]

■ Open issues:

- Elaborate some more examples
 - Currently covers CE-Mode, PE-Mode and PE-Mode with ACaaS
 - Potential new ones: dual-homed 5G entity, and the case when the 5G entity is instantiated in the internals of a cloud
- Ensure alignment with the latest version of RFC 9543 Network Slice service YANG model

■ Next Steps:

- Address open issues and then request WG LC
- Request further review from the WG

draft-ietf-teas-5g-ns-ip-mpls

- From: **Mohamed Boucadair** [Mar 13th, 2024]
- Rev 03 published [Feb 28th, 2024]
- Status:
 - Received int, tsv, and rtg directorate reviews.
 - No review was received from opsdir
 - Reply on the list to received reviews + text changes were made to address these reviews + reviews from Bo, Danielle, and Rudiger (tsvwg)
- Next Steps:
 - Request -03 to be in the WGLC per the plan presented in IETF#118
 - There are some minor edits to update the framework reference to 9543, but those can be considered as part of the WGLC.

- From: **Dhruv Dhody** [Mar 12th, 2024]
- Rev 12 published [Mar 16th, 2024]
 - Refresh
- Open issues:
 - None
- Status:
 - YANG Doctor review done
 - Ready for WGLC [given that VN YANG has completed WGLC]

draft-ietf-teas-actn-vn-yang

- From: **Dhruv Dhody** [Mar 12th, 2024]
- Rev 23 published [Jan 30th, 2024]
 - Resolved open question posted in IETF 118
 - Resolved all comments received from the RTGDIR review by Darren Dukes
 - Clarification related to underlay topology could be white or grey for VN Type 2 was added
 - Figure in the JSON example is added (also changed the name from absolute to underlay)
- Rev 24 published [Mar 16th, 2024]
 - Resolve Pavan's Shepherd comments
- Next steps:
 - Request publication

draft-ietf-teas-actn-yang

- From: **None**
- No new revision [Current Rev: 11]
- Next steps:
 - Revive expired draft
 - The document will be updated based on the progress of applicable models in the ACTN framework

draft-ietf-teas-applicability-actn-slicing

- From: **Dan King** [Mar 18th, 2024]
- Rev 05 published [Feb 11th, 2024]
 - Editorial Changes
 - Rev 05 was taken to WG LC
- Rev 06 published [Mar 17th, 2024]
 - Address comments received during WG LC
 - Underlining the use of IETF technologies for network slice, service, and controller.
 - Swapping references from WG drafts to recently published RFCs (9522 and 9543).
 - Highlighting the relationship between YANG modes and new work on mapping network slice services to TE models.
 - Moved relevant references from Informative to Normative.
 - Squashed various NITS and broken grammar.
- Next steps:
 - Complete RtgDir review process
 - Request publication

draft-ietf-teas-ietf-network-slice-use-cases

- From: **None**
- No new revision [Current Rev: 01]
- Open issues
 - Unaddressed comments from WG adoption poll
- Next steps
 - Revive expired draft
 - Continue to resolve the unaddressed comments
 - Welcome further review and suggestions

draft-ietf-teas-nrp-scalability

- From: **Jie Dong** [Mar 18th, 2024]
- Rev 04 published [Mar 4th, 2024]
 - Some of the scalability design principles rephrased based on the comments received both online and offline
 - Added a suggestion that network slicing protocol specifications also include considerations of scalability
 - Some example of network slice realizations are listed in an Appendix (thanks to Adrian for the contribution and discussion), and the possible scalability issues with each of them are discussed
 - Author list adjusted to comply to the rules of 5-authors (thanks to Fengwei for all your contributions to this work)
 - Misc. editorial changes.
- Next steps
 - Request further review and suggestions
 - Move the document towards WG last call

draft-ietf-teas-nrp-yang

- From: **Bo Wu** [Mar 18th, 2024]
- Rev 00 published [Feb 20th, 2024]
- Rev 01 published [Mar 16th, 2024]
 - Address some of the comments received during WG adoption (from Tom Petch, Adrian Farrel, and Med Boucadair)
 - Added a new section (Appendix A) to track unresolved issues
- Next steps
 - Continue to resolve the unaddressed comments
 - Welcome further review and suggestions

draft-ietf-teas-ns-controller-models

- From: **None**
- No new revision [Current Rev: 01]
- Open Issues:
 - Version -01 contains the list of open issues raised during the adoption process
- Next Steps:
 - Address the open issues listed in v-01
 - Align to the recent terminology adopted (i.e., RFC 9543 Network Slice)

draft-ietf-teas-ns-ip-mpls

- From: **None**
- Rev 03 published [Nov 26th, 2024]
 - Refresh
- Open issues:
 - Address the remaining issues tracked in Section 9
- Next Steps:
 - Work on addressing outstanding issues

draft-ietf-teas-rfc8776-update

- From: **None**
- Rev 10 published [Feb 22nd, 2024]
 - Get document ready for WG LC
- Next steps:
 - Complete WG LC

draft-ietf-teas-sf-aware-topo-model

- From: **Xufeng Liu** [Mar 16th, 2024]
- No new revision [Current Rev: 12]
- Open issues:
 - None
- Next steps:
 - Welcome further reviews and suggestions

draft-ietf-teas-te-service-mapping-yang

- From: **Dhruv Dhody** [Mar 12th, 2024]
- Rev 15 published [Mar 16th, 2024]
 - Editorial Changes
- No pending issues
- Next steps
 - WG review
 - Gated by progress of other YANG models



draft-ietf-teas-te-topo-and-tunnel-modeling

- From: **None**
- No new revision [Current Rev: 06]
- Need to update the document to align with the latest versions of related documents:
 - RFC8776: Common YANG Data Types for Traffic Engineering
 - RFC8795: YANG Data Model for Traffic Engineering Topologies
 - draft-ietf-teas-yang-te
 - draft-ietf-teas-yang-path-computation
- Need to consider documenting more use cases and techniques
- Next steps:
 - Revive expired draft
 - Complete the above items
 - Request further review and suggestions

draft-ietf-teas-yang-l3-te-topo

Git Repo: <https://github.com/tsaad-dev/te>

- From: **Xufeng Liu** [Mar 16th, 2024]
- Rev 16 published [Mar 2nd, 2024]
 - Align with updates to ietf-te-types and te-packet-types
- Open Issues:
 - Align with the WG consensus on the module prefix convention
- Next steps:
 - Solve the open issues above
 - Keep coordinating with ietf-eth-te-topology and ietf-te-mpls-topology to ensure aligned model relations
 - WG LC after completing the above

draft-ietf-teas-yang-path-computation

Git Repo: <https://github.com/IETF-TEAS-WG/ietf-teas-yang-path-computation>

- From: **None**
- Rev 22 published [Feb 14th, 2024]
 - Editorial changes
- Status:
 - Rev 21 was taken to WG LC
- Next steps:
 - Wait for rfc8776-update to complete WG LC

draft-ietf-teas-yang-rsvp

Git Repo: <https://github.com/tsaad-dev/te>

- From: **Tarek Saad** [Mar 1st, 2024]
- Rev 19 published [Feb 29th, 2024]
 - Removing defaults for certain leafs to allow for different vendor default values
 - Expanding single usage groupings
- Open issues:
 - None
- Next steps:
 - Request WG LC

draft-ietf-teas-yang-rsvp-te

Git Repo: <https://github.com/tsaad-dev/te>

- From: **None**
- No new revision [Current Rev: 09]
- Open items:
 - Add a JSON instance of the YANG model in Appendix
- Next steps:
 - Revive expired draft
 - WG LC will be requested after the open item is addressed

draft-ietf-teas-yang-sr-te-topo

Git Repo: <https://github.com/tsaad-dev/te>

- From: **Xufeng Liu** [Mar 16th, 2024]
- No new revision [Current Rev: 08]
- Open issues
 - None
- Next steps
 - Welcome further reviews and suggestions.
 - Request WG LC.

draft-ietf-teas-yang-te

Git Repo: <https://github.com/tsaad-dev/te>

- From: **None**
- Rev 36 published [Feb 2nd, 2024]
 - Address comments received during WG LC
- Next steps:
 - Wait for rfc8776-update to complete WG LC

draft-ietf-teas-yang-te-mpls

Git Repo: <https://github.com/tsaad-dev/te>

- From: **None**
- No new revision [Current Rev: 04]
- Open item:
 - Close on open issues on MPLS-TP modeling
- Next steps:
 - Revive expired document
 - WG LC will be requested after the open item is addressed

draft-ietf-teas-yang-te-mpls-topology

Git Repo: <https://github.com/tsaad-dev/te>

- From: **None**
- Rev 00 published [Mar 18th, 2024]
 - New WG Adoption