

Best Current Practice for Workload Identity

[draft-hofmann-wimse-workload-identity-bcp](#)

Benedikt Hofmann, Yaroslav Rosomakho, Edoardo Giordano, Arndt
Schwenkschuster, Hannes Tschofenig, Evan Gilman

Status

- Presented [draft-hofmann-wimse-workload-identity-bcp](#) at IETF#118.
- Time between IETF#118 and #119 was mostly dedicated to the charter discussions
- Interested parties met to discuss possible enhancements.
 - But not enough time to update the draft in time for the deadline

Short Refresher

Service Account Token Volume Projection

- Workloads are issued service account token
 - These JWTs are time bound
 - These JWTs are issued to each workload
- Less configuration overhead for developers and operators.
- Relies on [RFC 7523](#) “JWT Profile for OAuth 2.0 Client Authentication and Authorization Grants”
- Challenges arise when Authorization Servers are implemented according to [OIDC Core](#)

Worload
Identity
Server

Authorization
Server

Service
Account
Token
Volume
Projection
(SATVP)

Token
Exchange

Agent

SATVP

Workload

Access
Token

Resource
Server

Going forward

Questions

- Scope is currently focused on use of JWTs for workload identities.
 - +: Narrow focus allows us to finish the work quickly.
 - : Does not consider PoP tokens or X.509 certificates as credentials.
- Proposal to make recommendations regarding the host-local communication with the workload (environment variables, domain sockets, file system).
- Current version good enough as a starting point for work in the group.