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Problem Statement

• Sometimes people say things they shouldn’t
• BCP83 provides a very heavy weight process when action is needed
  • With no flexibility
  • Requires a public review
  • Is demeaning to all parties involved
  • Requires excessive amounts of community resources
Goals

• Provide a flexible mechanism that-
  • Enforces IETF code of behavior
  • Preserves the dignity of individuals involved
  • Imposes least restrictions necessary
  • Limits costs to the community (and especially the IESG)
  • Enables timely and flexible response
  • Provides appropriate levels of transparency, privacy, appeals
Two drafts

- **draft-ecahc-moderation**
  - Covers all IETF participation channels
  - Establishes a global moderation team modelled on RFC 9245 moderators
    - They propose/establish a process to meet the goals
  - {More work needed}

- **draft-lear-bcp83-replacement**
  - Targeted toward plenary lists (ietf, last-call, non-WG/non-area lists)
  - Delegates to the IETF Executive Director
  - Specifies transparency, appeals, and broad authority
  - {More work needed}
Next Steps (we think)

• There’s already a mailing list: mod-discuss@ietf.org
• Lay out key differences between the drafts
• Agree on goals and scope
• Take best from both works, or mix in something else
• Do this in a working group, so...
  • Establish a charter and...

Get On With It
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