BPF Working Group: Next Steps

David Vernet

TL;DR: We keep the party going, but lower the cost

- There’s a lot more to do in the WG
  - We can make progress even before we see vendor adoption
- Async and offline communication are in the WG’s DNA
  - Can still meet ad-hoc as needed
- Everything else stays the same!

Working group hypothesis: vendors will build offload

- Need to justify costs of working group
  - We now have ISA doc – let’s see if vendors build BPF solutions with it
- Software interoperability is important, but hardware is key
- Happy to work with vendors who are interested

Moving primarily to on-list communication

- WG conference meetings will be scheduled on an as-needed basis
  - Default assumption will be no meetings
  - We can always schedule ad-hoc meetings at other times as well
- Important to subscribe to lists!
  - IETF: https://mailman3.ietf.org/mailman3/lists/bpf@ietf.org/
  - BPF: http://vger.kernel.org/vger-lists.html#bpf
    - See https://www.bytelab.codes/plugging-into-linux-kernel-upstream-discussions/ for an overview of how to subscribe to LKML lists
    - **IMPORTANT:** There is a strictly-adhered-to etiquette
      - https://subspace.kernel.org/etiquette.html
      - https://www.bytelab.codes/submitting-your-first-patch-to-the-linux-kernel/