Follow up key points from mail thread discussion

● Motivation to have private features on top of standard generic features  
  (Guillaume)
  • It allows an in the middle party to filter out configuration objects that have not been announced.
  • It facilitates the in the middle party that interconnect/aggregates a set of dCDNs. Matching the dCDN with the uCDN is facilitated through using the private feature.
  • It facilitates monitoring/logging/troubleshooting because private features are controlled through FCI/Configuration interfaces (API and datamodel).
  • It speed-up the standardization process by obliging private parties to adopt the standard formalism and be ready for a potential future standardization of the feature

● MtE, StR, incomprehensible support in RFC8006 not being sufficient
  • Not specific to private features
  • Need to describe support in specific contexts
  • Need to describe partial values support