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Motivation

● In order for the DULT protocol to be successful, the WG will need an understanding of an unwanted tracking threat model

● Document 4 includes:
  ○ A taxonomy of unwanted tracking
  ○ What we think should be in/out of scope w.r.t. attackers and victims
  ○ Design considerations for protocols

● Our document aims to contribute to the following DULT WG goals:
  ○ Threat analysis
  ○ Documentation of the current state of tracker accessory platforms (Goal 1)
  ○ Standards-track protocol and guidance for preventing unwanted tracking (Goals 2, 3, 4)
Definitions

**active scanning**: a search for location trackers manually initiated by a user

**passive scanning**: a search for location trackers running in the background, often accompanied by notifications for the user

**tracking tag**: a small, concealable device that broadcasts location data to other devices
Attacker Taxonomy

- **Expertise level**
  - Expert: The attacker works in or is actively studying computer science, networking, computer applications, IT, or another technical field.
  - Non-expert: The attacker does not work or study in, or is a novice in, a technical field.

- **Proximity to victim**
  - High: Lives with victim or has easy physical access to victim and/or victim’s possessions.
  - Medium: Has some physical access to the person and possessions of someone who lives with victim, such as when the attacker and victim are co-parenting a child.
  - Low: Does not live with or have physical access to victim and/or victim’s possessions.

- **Access to resources**
  - High: The attacker has access to resources that may amplify the impact of other characteristics (e.g. significant finances, assistance, privileged access to technology).
  - Low: The attacker has access to few or no such resources.
Victim Taxonomy

- **Expertise level**
  - Expert: The victim works in or is actively studying computer science, networking, computer applications, IT, or another technical field.
  - Non-expert: The victim does not work or study in, or is a novice in, a technical field.

- **Access to resources**
  - High: The victim is generally able to safely access practical and relevant resources such as funds to pay a car mechanic, legal assistance, or other resources.
  - Low: The victim is generally unable to safely access practical and relevant resources.

- **Access to technological safeguards**
  - High: The victim is able to safely use, and has access to, technological safeguards such as active scanning apps.
  - Limited: The victim is able to safely use, and has access to, technological safeguards such as active scanning apps, but is unable to use their full capacity.
  - Low: The victim is not able to use technological safeguards such as active scanning apps, due to reasons of safety or access.
Tracking Tag Usage Taxonomy

- Attacker only: The attacker controls one or more tracking tags, but the victim does not.
- Victim only: The victim controls one or more tracking tags, but the attacker does not.
- Attacker and victim: Both the attacker and victim control one or more tracking tags.
Example Scenarios

Please see the example scenarios in Document 4 or the previous presentation from IETF 119.
What (we propose) is in scope for DULT WG

● Technologies
  ○ Any easily-concealable accessory that is able to broadcast its location to other consumer devices

● Attacker Profiles
  ○ Attacks using platform native tracking applications
  ○ Attacks that include physical modifications of a tracking tag
  ○ Non-nation-state level alterations to firmware or deployment of custom devices that leverage crowdsourced tracking network

● Victim Profiles
  ○ All in scope regardless of expertise, resources, or access to technological safeguards
What (we propose) is out of scope for DULT WG

- **Technologies**
  - App-based technologies such as parental monitoring apps
  - Tracking tags or other IoT devices or that are not easily concealable
  - Connected cars
  - User accounts for cloud services or social media

- **Attacker Profiles**
  - Attackers with nation-state level expertise and resources, e.g. custom or altered tracking tags that bypass safeguards
  - Jailbreaking of a victim’s device

- **Victim Profiles**
  - N/A
Design Considerations

- Include a variety of approaches to address different scenarios, including active and passive scanning and notifications or sounds
- Account for scenarios in which the attacker has high expertise, proximity, and/or access to resources within scope
- Account for scenarios in which the victim has low expertise, access to resources, and/or access to technological safeguards within scope
- Avoid privacy compromises for the tag owner when protecting against unwanted location tracking using tracking tags