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We want to change BCP194 kind of fast
- **Idea:** Move terminology and ‘implementation options’ to informational documents makes consensus easier, as they do not codify
  - We have time for these and can draw heavily from text to be cut from draft-ietf-grow-bgpopsecupd-01.
- Introducing two new (informational) drafts:
  - **draft-fiebig-grow-routing-ops-sec-inform:** Most of the cut content from draft-ietf-grow-bgp-opsec-upd; around 45 pages
  - **draft-fiebig-grow-routing-ops-terms:** Currently mostly a stub; All terms and definitions from the ‘old’ 194 upd. draft (-01)
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draft-fiebig-grow-routing-ops-sec-inform
Borrows structure and content from draft-ietf-grow-bgpsecupd-01

Concrete ways to implement certain things

Possibly multiple ways to accomplish the same: Just note the options

Informational document;

Expressed not prescriptive beyond what needs to be done for a single method (‘to do Y which is an option to accomplish X you need to’ instead of ‘you need to do X’).
• It might be an option to add an explicit TTL into the document
  • Essentially a ‘best before’
  • Sometimes ‘no document’/‘do not use this document anymore’ is better than ‘stale document’
Best-Effort

- Does not need to claim absolute completeness
- Not an issue if some things do the same
- No judgement about methods; Just because it is mentioned does not mean people should use it. It is an option to accomplish sth.
- Ideally: Eventual (rough) consensus;
Status

- Basically where draft-ietf-grow-bgpsecupdp-01 + additions was before draft-ietf-grow-bgpsecupdp-02/-03 got shorter
- Lots of editing to do; A lot of room for additions (Being ‘a’ technique suffices to be included?)

⇒ Is this something we want to work on? Call for adoption?
draft-fiebig-grow-routing-ops-terms
Prescriptive
- *THIS* is how you should speak and which words you may use

Descriptive
- Couple of people do this, couple do that; Pick sth. or make it in here with something new; I’m a dictionary, not a cop.
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Descriptive vs. Prescriptive Dictionaries

Prescriptive
- *THIS* is how you should speak and which words you may use

Descriptive ⇐ *We want this*
- Couple of people do this, couple do that; Pick sth. or make it in here with something new; I’m a dictionary, not a cop.
A collection of terms

- The **peer**ing with the **peer** that is a **peer** is different from the **peer**ing with a **peer** that is a downstream.
- New I-Ds brought many new terms that make this better (or: more)
- Have it all together in one place, incl. potentially historic terms and how/when they used to be used
Status

- ‘Just’ the terms/definitions section from draft-ietf-grow-bgpopssecupd-01 + additions before draft-ietf-grow-bgpopssecupd-02/-03 got shorter
- Probably needs an open survey ‘tell us about all the funny terms’?
- More input needed what should go in there
- What would be the right structure? Sections (Historic, current?)

⇒ Is this something we want to work on?
Call for adoption?