

IPv6 Performance Comparison with IPv4

HotRFC Talk, IETF 120
XiPeng Xiao, v6ops co-chair
xipengxiao@gmail.com

IPv6 Performance Tests: Why

- Problem statement
 - Happy Eyeballs Protocol (HE) may mask IPv6 issues in dual stack environment by simply selecting IPv4
 - IPv6 issues not identified/solved
- Proposal
 - Test to identify/solve remaining IPv6 issues
- Benefits
 - HE will use IPv6 more → IPv6 traffic > IPv4 → migrate to IPv6-only earlier

What to Do & How to Help

- Proposed tests – open to discussion
 - **DNS performance** comparison
 - Is there a difference in success rate to obtain AAAA vs A record?
 - Is there a difference in latency to obtain AAAA vs A record?
 - Some DNS servers may return AAAA/A separately, so there is a possibility that the latency is different
 - Is there a difference in success rate or latency if the DNS queries are sent over IPv6 vs IPv4?
 - **Connection success rate** comparison
 - Is there a difference in TCP/http connection setup success rate for IPv6 vs IPv4 (e.g. between RIPE Atlas probes as sources and Alexa 100 as destinations)?
 - **Connection speed** comparison (when both IPv6 & IPv4 connections can be set up)
 - Is there a difference between IPv6 and IPv4 for connection setup time?
 - **PLR** comparison (when both IPv6 & IPv4 connections can be set up)
 - Is there a difference between IPv6 and IPv4 for packet loss rate (PLR) – the packets can be either sync/ack packets and data packets
 - **Where are IPv6 packets dropped** when connection failed
 - In source domain, transit network, or destination domain

- How to help
 - Design / review test cases
 - Write Python test scripts
 - Contribute test probes or credit
 - Conduct tests and report results
 - Analyze stats
 - Write report drafts