

Rate-Limited Token Issuance Protocol

draft-ietf-privacypass-rate-limit-tokens-06

Tommy Pauly, Chris Wood, Steven Valdez,
Scott Hendrickson, Jana Iyengar
Privacy Pass
IETF 120, July 2024, Vancouver

WGLC Feedback

There probably are better and simpler cryptographic options for achieving per-origin rate limiting

Questions around experimental status — what is the experiment?

Needs some clarifications around the roles and which party is doing what

WGLC Feedback

There probably are better and simpler cryptographic options for achieving per-origin rate limiting

Agreed — as one comment points out, this is a "pragmatic" approach using more available and widely understood crypto, but it gets complex to put together.

Questions around experimental status — what is the experiment?

Needs some clarifications around the roles and which party is doing what

Ways forward

- a) Fix up issues for clarity, and move to another WGLC
 - i) Experimental and justify the experiment 🧪
 - ii) Proposed standard ➡
- b) Put document on hold and replace crypto with another variant when that's ready 🖐
- c) Abandon the document 😓

Issuer → Attester Communication

Separately from the per-origin rate limiting, the mechanism in Section 5.5 that defines how Issuers communicate rate-limiting policies to Attesters is very useful

- Useful for normal type 1 and 2 tokens

- Allows an issuer to express a dynamic rate limit (across all clients, but for a particular time period) for how many tokens should be issued

Might be good to pull this part out into a separate document regardless of other decisions