



InkBridge
Networks

Deprecate All The Things

ALAN DEKOK - RADEXT - IETF 120



IT'S BEEN AN INTERESTING FEW MONTHS

- ▶ The BlastRADIUS attack means that the doc had to be substantially changed
 - ▶ Description of the attack, mitigations, etc.
- ▶ Upgrade everything.
 - ▶ Or not. It's fine, right?

LET'S RECAP

- ▶ 1991 - RADIUS defined by Livingston
- ▶ 1997 - RFC 2038, after some discussion with the IESG on security
- ▶ 1998 - HMAC auth starts being defined
- ▶ 2000 - RFC 2869 says “authenticated packets aren’t necessary”
- ▶ 2007 - RFC 5080 - Please can we just use HMAC?
- ▶ 2024 - Oops. Maybe we should have fixed this a while ago.

THE PUSH-BACK

- ▶ Does anyone still use RADIUS?
- ▶ Hasn't it been replaced by Diameter?
- ▶ But hasn't everyone switched to EAP / EAP-TLS?
- ▶ But surely no one is using PAP / CHAP / MS-CHAP over RADIUS/UDP, right?
- ▶ But we can use Kerberos, or TACACS+ or IPSec, right?
- ▶ The attack is hard, so I don't need to upgrade, right?

REITERATING RECOMMENDATIONS

- ▶ Focus extended from just UDP/TCP to any insecure practice
- ▶ Substantial text added about MS-CHAP
 - ▶ **We should consider MS-CHAP to be 100% broken.**
- ▶ Text on PAP vs CHAP and password storage
 - ▶ Most public recommendations are horrifically wrong
 - ▶ PAP is secure.

LAST MINUTE NOTES

- ▶ Nothing recommends delaying Access-Rejects
 - ▶ It can help with dictionary attacks
- ▶ Nothing recommends constant-time comparisons
 - ▶ for Message-Authenticator or Response Authenticator

QUESTIONS?

- ▶ Why has no one looked at this in the past 25 years?