

Version, Signal, Transition

Background

- Documents
 - Versioning in the Registration Data Access Protocol
 - <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-regext-rdap-versioning/>
 - RDAP Extensions
 - <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-regext-rdap-extensions/>
 - An RDAP With Extensions Media Type
 - <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-regext-rdap-x-media-type/>
- And there's the “transition” specification in jsContact
 - <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-regext-rdap-jscontact/>

1. What problem or problems are we trying to solve?
2. What contribution(s) does/do each of these documents make to the problem(s)?

What's Next?

- When this first came up, there was at least some interest in considering two problems
 - Versioning in extensions
 - Transitioning between versions
- Consensus is still not apparent
- Perhaps no problem?
- Jim Gould's recent email message clearly explains 3 of the documents
 - What is the impact on the transition specification proposed in jsContact?
- Proposal - other proposals are welcome
 - jsContact including its transition specification to Experimental as drafted
 - Focus on "RDAP Extensions" as the starting point and provide guidance for future RDAP extension registrations, including how to manage versioning