



InkBridge
Networks

A History

ALAN DEKOK - SAAG - IETF 120



LET'S RECAP

- ▶ 1991 - RADIUS defined by Livingston
- ▶ 1997 - RFC 2038, after some discussion with the IESG on security
- ▶ 1998 - HMAC auth starts being defined
- ▶ 2000 - RFC 2869 says "authenticated packets aren't necessary"
- ▶ 2007 - RFC 5080 - Please can we just use HMAC? ^{No.}
- ▶ 2024 - Oops. Maybe we should have fixed this a while ago.

THE PUSH-BACK

- ▶ Does anyone still use RADIUS?
- ▶ Hasn't it been replaced by Diameter?
- ▶ But hasn't everyone switched to EAP / EAP-TLS?
- ▶ But surely no one is using PAP / CHAP / MS-CHAP over RADIUS/UDP, right?
- ▶ But we can use Kerberos, or TACACS+ or IPSec, right?

IS THERE ANYTHING SIMILAR?

- ▶ CVE-2024-3661
 - ▶ a local DHCP server can spoof routes and get some VPNs to bypass the VPN. The underlying issue has been known for decades. Paul has opinions here.
- ▶ https://www.cisa.gov/sites/default/files/2023-03/CISA_Resilient_Power_Best_Practices_for_Critical_Facilities_and_Sites_508c.pdf?ref=404media.co
 - ▶ State-sponsored actors monitor SS7 / Diameter to track individuals, and attack them
 - ▶ They don't mention RADIUS, likely because no one pays attention to it
- ▶ <https://www.akamai.com/blog/security-research/spoofing-dns-by-abusing-dhcp>
 - ▶ DHCP can request DDNS updates, leading to unauthenticated arbitrary DNS record overwrite
- ▶ DNS has been updated with DNS over TLS and DNS over HTTPS, so that's nice.

HOW DID WE GET HERE?

- ▶ Operational people don't always talk to crypto people
 - ▶ and vice versa
- ▶ Unless the specs are extremely clear on security issues, they will get ignored
- ▶ Even for 802.1X, we use post-quantum crypto, 4096-bit keys, and then...
 - ▶ raw UDP packets with no authentication or integrity checks
 - ▶ Maybe we can do better?

WHAT TO DO NEXT?

- ▶ Something? Anything?
- ▶ Who's responsible, other than the IETF?