

# IETF 121 DTN Working Group

RFC4838 Discussion

Ed Birrane ([Edward.Birrane@jhuapl.edu](mailto:Edward.Birrane@jhuapl.edu))  
(chair hat off)

# RFC4838 History

This RFC was published on the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF) stream. This RFC is **not endorsed by the IETF** and has **no formal standing** in the [IETF standards process](#).



This document describes an architecture that addresses a variety of problems with internetworks having operational and performance characteristics that make conventional (Internet-like) networking approaches either unworkable or impractical. We define a **message-oriented overlay** that exists above the transport (or other) layers of the networks it interconnects.

# Considerations around RFC4838

An updated DTN architecture would have some benefits

- Re-affirm (the many) elements of RFC4838 that remain unchanged
- Re-visit elements of RFC4838 that have:
  - Some elements have changed via RFC9171/RFC9172 and others
  - Some elements should be refreshed
  - Some element purposes have been rethought
- Produce an updated architecture from an IETF WG.

# Why DTN Architecture?

The existing Internet protocols do not work well for some environments, due to some fundamental assumptions built into the Internet architecture:

- An end-to-end path exists for the duration of a communication session
- Retransmissions based on timely and stable feedback from data receivers is an effective means for repairing errors
- End-to-end loss is relatively small
- All routers and end stations support the TCP/IP protocols
- Applications need not worry about communication performance
- Endpoint-based security mechanisms are sufficient for meeting most security concerns
- Packet switching is most appropriate abstraction for interoperability and performance
- Selecting a single route between sender and receiver is sufficient for achieving acceptable communication performance

Some of these  
still are true.  
Some less so.

# Bundle Layer Design Principles

- Use variable-length messages (not streams or limited-sized packets)
- Use a naming syntax that supports a wide range of naming and addressing.
- Use storage within the network to support store-and-forward operation over multiple paths, and over potentially long timescales.
- Provide security mechanisms that protect the infrastructure from unauthorized use by discarding traffic as quickly as possible.
- Provide coarse-grained classes of service, delivery options, and a way to express the useful lifetime of data to allow the network to better deliver data in serving the needs of applications.



# Application Design Principles

- Minimize the number of round-trip exchanges.
- Cope with restarts after failure while network txns remain pending.
- Inform network of useful life/importance of data to be delivered.
- Include information in ADU to be treated as independent unit of work by network.
- Consider additional factors beyond the conversational implications of long delay paths.
  - Application may terminate between sending message and getting response.

# Bundle Assumptions

1. that storage is available and well-distributed throughout the network,
2. that storage is sufficiently persistent and robust to store bundles until forwarding can occur, and
3. (implicitly) that this "store-and-forward" model is a better choice than attempting to effect continuous connectivity or other alternatives.

## Things that remain similar as specified (opinion)

- Virtual message switching
- Store-and-forward
- Nodes, EIDs, Registrations
- URI Schemes
- Late Binding
- Convergence Layers



## Things to Review (opinion)

- Priority Classes (vs labels)
- Administrative Records (guidance on when to produce)
- Delivery Options, Administrative Records (update for BPv7)
- Reliability/Custody Transfer (methods)
- Anycast / Multicast (updated mechanisms)
- Congestion / Flow Control (updated mechanisms)
- Security (update for BPv7)