

Guidelines for Authors and Reviewers of Documents Containing YANG Data Models

[draft-ietf-netmod-rfc8407bis](#)

IETF#121, Dublin

A. Bierman , M. Boucadair , & Q. Wu

The Initial Deal at IETF#117

Summary & Next Steps

- The proposed –bis addresses many of the recurrent issues raised by YANG module authors
 - An absolute requirement is broken as YANG is used as transport-agnostic language
 - Can't be fixed with an erratum
 - Updating the Guidelines to cover missing areas for better consistency among YANG modules
- Consider adopting the document with the following -bis scope
 - IANA Guidelines + errata + Trust issue
 - i.e.,
 - draft-boucadair-netmod-iana-registries + + errata + Trust issue

Status Update

- Addressed *issues beyond* the initial scope
 - [Compare Editor's Copy to RFC8407](#): Displays all the changes vs. 8407
- *IANA reviewed* and helped document IANA guidance
- The document *passed the WGLC*
- *Shepherd* review addressed & Write-up *completed*
- Received comments after then
 - **Guidance about too long trees** (*Eric*)
 - Only the appendix option is now listed
 - Include registration template for **revised modules** (*Jürgen*)
 - We do have now two templates (initial/revised) for ease-of-use to authors
 - Be **explicit or silent** about when there is no readable/RPCs (*Mahesh*)
 - The practice so far is to be silent about this
 - No change was made to the template

Next Step

- Ready to request publication for the document