

# Status of draft-ietf-nmop-terminology

- The text is getting more and more *stable*
- The authors indicated that they think the text is close to the WG LC
- There are still some very few points on which we expect *converging* SOON
  - Text tweaking: Resource/Service
  - NEW text: Network Observability
  - More discussion: Agree on the level of incident/anomaly details to include
- Adrian made a comprehensive *consistency check* of the various NMOP documents with proposed *actions when deviations* were observed
  - Authors of some of these I-Ds implemented the proposed fixes
- *Early directorate reviews* were requested:

[GENART Early Review due 2024-11-22](#)

[INTDIR Early Review due 2024-11-22](#) |

[IOTDIR Early Review due 2024-11-22](#) |

[RTGDIR Early Review due 2024-11-22](#)

[SECDIR Early Review due 2024-11-22](#)

[OPSDIR Early Review due 2024-11-22](#)

# Next Step for draft-ietf-nmop-terminology

- Need to find a balance between
  - A minimal set of **core terms use widely across all** NMOP documents
  - A maximal set of terms that **might be used in any one** NMOP document
- A proposal for discussion
  - Release new versions to address the pending comments and various directorate reviews
  - Let the document **open for two/three months**
  - If no major comment is raised, **run a WGLC by end of Jan 2025**
  - NMOP documents can define **context-specific terms, if needed**
- Questions to the WG
  - **Q#1**: Do you agree to proceed with the WGLC as suggested in the proposal above?
  - **Q#2**: Do you prefer to hold the document and wait for the anomaly/incident I-Ds to be finalized as well, but with the risk to see its publication delayed if the other two I-Ds can't make the target deadline?