

Transaction Tokens

IETF 121 - Dublin



Merged PRs

[Update Transaction Token Service responsibility for replacement tokens #110](#) ✓

#147 by ashayraut was merged last week · Approved

[Affiliation change for Pieter](#) ✓

#146 by PieterKas was merged 2 weeks ago · Approved

[Addressed comments from IANA review](#) ✓

#144 by tulshi was merged 3 weeks ago · Approved

🕒 1

[Clarify `subject_token_type` value when requesting a replacement Txn-Token](#) ✓

#143 by gffletch was merged last month · Approved

🕒 1

[Updated Mutual Authentication Guidance](#) ✓

#142 by PieterKas was merged last week · Approved

🕒 1

💬 25

[Additional detail on 'aud' claim](#) ✓

#141 by PieterKas was merged last month · Approved

🕒 1

💬 3
















[Clarification on token context](#) ✓

#140 by PieterKas was merged last month · Approved

🕒 1

💬 1

Merged PRs

<input type="checkbox"/>	 Proposed editorial update to Txn-Token Response ✓		 1
	#139 by PieterKas was merged on Sep 27 • Approved		
<input type="checkbox"/>	 Trust domain clarification ✓		 1
	#138 by PieterKas was merged on Sep 27 • Approved		
<input type="checkbox"/>	 Editorial changes to the ASCII diagrams ✓		 1
	#136 by PieterKas was merged on Sep 27 • Approved		
<input type="checkbox"/>	 Update draft-ietf-oauth-transaction-tokens.md ✓		 1
	#126 by federicofdez was merged on Sep 9 • Approved		
<input type="checkbox"/>	 clarified logging recommendation ✓	 1	 6
	#125 by tulshi was merged on Sep 27 • Approved		
<input type="checkbox"/>	 moved txn field usage information to Security Considerations section ✓	 1	 3
	#123 by tulshi was merged on Sep 4 • Approved		
<input type="checkbox"/>	 added unsigned json subject token type ✓	 1	 24
	#122 by tulshi was merged on Aug 29 • Changes requested		

Topics

- Addressed much of the feedback from the last discussion
- Updates to subject_token_type processing
- Claim names and semantic updates
- Replacement transaction token updates
- Security considerations around mutual authentication and invocations of the Transaction Token Service
- Clarified requirements around logging calling out security and privacy constraints

Discussion: Discovery

- Is there a need to be able to discover the correct Transaction Token Service in a trust domain?
- What about capabilities of the Transaction Token Service itself?

Discussion: Batch processing

- How to handle Transaction Tokens in batch processing contexts?
 - One token for the entire batch job?
 - Special token that allows the Batch process to obtain Transaction Tokens as needed?
- Scope/purpose processing for batch processes?

Discussion: Use of RAR and Transaction Tokens

- Use cases requiring RAR?
- Where should RAR data live within the Transaction Token?

Discussion: Deployment models

- Issue to collect existing or planned deployment models
- Do these deployment models stress the current specification