

What makes a good
candidate?

We Need You!




Caveats

- Involved in the IETF since 1988
- I claim no particularly great wisdom.
- Every NOMCOM voting member has their own thoughts and their own criteria for success.

Positions available

Oversees WGs, reviews documents, sets IETF agenda, Appeals, Judges IETF consensus




Protects our IPR



Oversees operations




Architectural Oversight
Liaisons, ISE, Appeals,
Programs, Workshops




Qualifications for each position are online.

Process



(Think about this now for next year)

Process



Pluses and minuses for candidates

+	-
Some IETF experience	No experience whatsoever or too much time in position
Positive reputation, and good recommendations	Gruffness
Internet centricity	Vendor centricity
Having served as a volunteer (WG chair, WG secretary, NOC, liaison, doc author)	Having not done well in another role
Adds to diversity / knowledge base / experience of the team	A personal agenda of some form

Highlight your unique qualifications.

FAQ

What if I'm not ready?	You've probably got more to bring to the table than you realize
Do I need sponsorship?	Probably. Participating in leadership comes at a cost in terms of your time and budget.
How else can I help?	Provide the NOMCOM feedback. It's not too late to do so for this week.
How else can I help?	Volunteer for NOMCOM
How else can I help?	Review documents, be a WG chair, a WG secretary, write a draft
I need specific guidance	I will happily mentor any participant through the process (YMMV)
How else can I help	Be a mentor to others