

IETF Network Slice Controller and its associated data models

draft-ietf-teas-ns-controller-models-03

Luis M. Contreras (*Telefonica*), R. Rokui (*Ciena*), J. Tantsura (*Microsoft*), B. Wu (*Huawei*), X. Liu (*IBM*), D. Dhody (*Huawei*), S. Belotti (*Nokia*)

Refresh on the draft proposal



It is not the purpose of this document to standardize or constrain the implementation of the IETF Network Slice Controller.

Structure

Higher Level System

- Mapper processes the customer request, putting it into the context of the overall IETF Network Slices in the network
- **Realizer** processes the complete view of all the slices in the network, decides the proper technologies for realizing the IETF Network Slice and triggers its realization

Models

- (a) -> customer's view, e.g. [I-D.ietf-teas-ietfnetwork-slice-nbi-yang]
- (b) -> provider's view, including more detailed but yet technology-agnostic resource view as e.g. [I-D.liu-teas-transportnetwork-slice-yang], and/or alternative technology-specific augmentations as e.g. [I-D.ietf-ccamp-yang-otn-slicing], or for IP/MPLS NRP [I-D.ietf-teas-nrp-yang]
- (c) -> models per network controller, out of scope. An example of applicability of existing models is in [I-D.barguil-teas-network-slicesinstantation]

History of the document and status

(*) [I-D.wd-teas-ietf-network-slice-nbi-yang] and [I-D.liu-teas-transport-network-slice-yang]

- Authors of alternative slicing YANG models (*) agreed on creating a document for better understanding the interplay between models and the functional behavior of the IETF NSC.
- Document presented at
 - (before adoption) IETF 109, 110, 115, 116
 - (after adoption) IETF 120
- Status
 - All the comments received during adoption already covered

What's missing for progressing the document?

- Question for the WG:
 - Any additional issue we should take care of?
 - Would it be beneficial the addition of some example in the draft on how different models interplay?
- Assess the proposed structure with the progress of aspects of slicing (e.g., NRP, customer topology intent, etc)
 - Can we consider any dependency with other documents?
- Request directorate reviews
- Create v -03 with the received feedback
- Consider WGLC process