

Use of Variable-Length Output PRFs in IKEv2

`draft-smyslov-ipsecme-ikev2-prf-plus-00`

Valery Smyslov
svan@elvis.ru

IETF 122

Use of PRF in IKEv2

- Pseudorandom Function (PRF) is used in IKEv2 for authentication and key derivation
- PRF is assumed to be a function that takes a variable-size key and variable-size string and produces a **fixed-size** pseudorandom output; it is denoted as *prf* in RFC 7296
- For longer output a construction *prf+* is used:

$$\text{prf}^+(K, S) = T1 \mid T2 \mid T3 \mid T4 \mid \dots$$
$$T1 = \text{prf}(K, S \mid 0x01)$$
$$T2 = \text{prf}(K, T1 \mid S \mid 0x02)$$
$$T3 = \text{prf}(K, T2 \mid S \mid 0x03)$$
$$T4 = \text{prf}(K, T3 \mid S \mid 0x04)$$

Concrete PRFs in IKEv2

- Concrete PRF is negotiated via `PRF` transform in `SA` payload
 - *prf+* construction is not negotiated, it is fixed in IKEv2 specification
- Currently all registered PRFs for IKEv2 have fixed output length
- Recently new PRFs appeared that can produce as many pseudo-random bits as requested in one call
 - KMAC as an example
 - more such PRFs can appear in future

Use of PRFs with Variable-Length Output in IKEv2

- These PRFs can be used as fixed-length output PRFs (by fixing the output length)
 - suitable for use as *prf*
 - inefficient for use as *prf+*
- Optimization: for *prf+* use cases these PRFs can be used with only one iteration:
$$\text{prf+}(K, S) = \text{prf}(K, S \mid 0x01)$$
 - proposed in [draft-salter-ipsecme-sha3](#) for KMAC
 - requires special handling of these PRFs in IKEv2
 - *prf+* construction becomes degenerate

Can We do Better?

- If PRFs with variable-length output have to be handled specially in IKEv2, then why not get rid of *prf+* for them?

$$\text{prf+}(K, S) = \text{prf}(K, S)$$

- code complexity is the same as for using single iteration of *prf+*
- no need for additional fixed input byte 0x01 (former counter)
- also discussed as a possibility in [draft-salter-ipsecme-sha3](#)

Generic Rules?

- Use of variable-length output PRFs should be specified as in a generic way, not for each such PRF
 - for each such PRF a preferred key size should be specified
 - in case of *prf*, use these PRFs with output length equal to the preferred key size
 - do **not** use *prf+*, instead do a single call to these PRFs with a needed output length
 - do not use customization strings if they can be set by API (since APIs may vary)

Thanks!

Comments?

WG adoption?