

draft-darling-key-directory-over-http

<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-darling-key-directory-over-http>

Fisher Darling, Thibault Meunier, Simon Newton (Cloudflare)



Motivation

Multiple protocols rely on public key cryptography

Some encourage distribution of their keys via HTTP

JOSE, COSE, DAP, Privacy Pass, OHTTP, [your-protocol-here]

They all have different levels of details regarding implementation:

- *How does the protocol interact with HTTP cache*
- *How does it work with HTTP when keys are rotated*
- *How do clients discover an endpoint to retrieve keys*
- *How to ensure my directory is consistent for all clients*

This document aims to provide recommendations for existing and future protocols.

Background

[RFC 9205](#) *Building protocols with HTTP*

Best current practice to write protocols that use HTTP (June 2022)

[RFC 7517](#) *JSON Web Key*

Section 6 defines a Key Directory (Key Set)

[OpenID Connect](#) *Core*

Identity layer for OAuth 2.0. Leverages JOSE

[RFC 9578](#) *Privacy Pass Issuance Protocols*

Section 4 defines a Key Directory

[Privacy Pass Mirrors](#) *Checking Resource Consistency with HTTP Mirrors*

This is an example where recommendations would help

Format is out of scope. Use base64, JWK, COSE.

This is up to protocol implementers

Background

A discussion has started within [SPICE](#), and on [GitHub](#) about the scope

The draft spans across multiple areas: CFRG, JOSE, COSE, SPICE, HTTPAPI, PRIVACYPASS, KEYTRANS, ...

Non exhaustive formatted list <https://key-directory-over-http.research.cloudflare.com/>

Hoped-for dispatch outcome

Direct the work to an existing WG

Leverage current expertise in building HTTP API and distributing public cryptographic key material over HTTP.

Propose a new focused WG

Have a dedicated place with more participant.

Preferred option: an existing WG