

18 March 2026

VELOCE

YANG development PrOCeDure & Maintenance

Status

- Draft published
 - Experimental
 - Experimental Plan
 - What is the goal?
 - How will the experiment be conducted?
 - How will success be determined?
 - Anticipated timeline
 - Please review
 - Request adoption as a WG document

Review question #1

- Is OPSAWG the right place?
 - Yes, it is a OPSAWG document.

Review question #2

- Should the YANG module share the repo with the draft?
 - Not a stipulation (in the spirit of not making too many requirements)
 - Personally, that is what I do.

Review question #3

- Tree diagram
 - Helps explain the module.
 - Please include in the document
 - Can be generated automatically and including in the draft.

Review question #4

- GitHub vs GitLab
 - WG decision
 - Note
 - RFC Editor already uses GitHub
 - GitHub has more tools (e.g., CI/CD)

Review question #5

- Stronger guidance on tagging mechanisms
 - Agree
 - How about should -> MUST
 - Ultimately a WG decision on which of the options suggested.

Review question #6

- Why 2 years?
 - Feedback from NEMOPS was ...
- Is time the right criteria?
 - Or should it be the ease of making updates/changes?
- If time is the criteria we want to use?
 - Is it the same for a new YANG module
 - Versus a bis of a module

Next Steps

- Regular meeting
 - For any discussion
 - Address questions
- Looking for volunteers
 - New YANG module
 - Bis version

Q & A