

# draft-ietf-forces-protocol-07

IETF65  
ForCES WG  
avri doria

# Status

- Post WG Last Call
- Post Review by Routing Area deirectorate representative; i.e Sue Hares
- Ready to be sent to IESG and IETF LC?

# Changes: 06 - 07

- Tracker issues
  - 63, 66, 67, 68, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 82, 84
- Changes to resolve Sue's comments.

# Issues 63 through 67

- 63: editorial nits fixed
- 66: normative dependency on model
  - Fixed problem language but left Model in as normative reference
- 67: changed 'should' to 'MUST'
  - All ForCES Protocol Layer implementations MUST be portable across all TMLs, because all TMLs MUST have the top edge semantics defined in this document.

# Issues 68 through 78

- 68: ACK flag –
  - Also note that, other than in Config and HB Messages, requirements for responses of messages are all given in a default way rather than by ACK flags.
- 75: missed nit picked (added a period)
- 76: Updated text for clarity in Overview section
- 77: Table added for ID space
- 78: covered by fixes for issue 76

# Issues 79 through 84

- 79: Added reference to RFC 2119 – Requirements words
- 82: the author issue
  - Reinserted front authors note
  - Added all authors to end alphabetized author's list
- 84: fixed Result T1V language

# Sue's Issues

- Path flags find-empty bit – added example of the find-empty bit
- Operation flags and global flags – added note on how they interact
- Inserted recommend (SHOULD) value for CE HDI – 3 X HB Interval
- Added clarifying text of behavior if switch from Primary CE occurs
- Fixed editorials

# Conclusion

- We, editors and authors, believe the draft is ready to move on.
  - Issues brought up in WG LC have been fixed or addressed
  - Issues brought up by Rtg Directorate Reviewer have been fixed and addressed
  - No significant technical changes made after WGLC
  - Passes Nits checking