

Status of Current Drafts

IPFIX WG, IETF 65, Dallas, Mar 06

Nevil Brownlee

Current state, what next?

- We now have AD reviews for all four drafts
 - Lots of editorial changes suggested
- Draft authors have been asked to make new versions, making changes/improvements as suggested
- Security ADs asked for review/help with our 'Security Considerations' sections
- New revisions will go to IETF Last Call

Applicability Statement

- Bert asked

*“ Is this a document to describe realistic applicability of IPFIX,
or*

Is it more of a marketing or promotional document to try and push it into all sorts of existing systems?

- Suggestion: ask IPPM, AAA, IDMEF, RMONMIB, RTP WGs for comment
- Draft authors believe it follows guidelines in RFC 2026
 - We will ask the above WGs fofo feedback
 - What do *you* think? Feedback to IPFIX list, please!

Information Model

- New Information elements
 - Draft says “*FCFS with expert review*”
 - Bert suggests
 - Require proper documentation to be publicly available
 - Maybe set up some sort of template that **MUST** be filled out and approved to request registration
 - Would *Standards Track action* be better?
 - It would ensure better review and well-documented registrations
- Apps ADs asked for review/comment on the XML description
 - Quite a few errors found
 - The text descriptions are the normative ones, but we need to correct the XML