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Current state, what next?

- We now have AD reviews for all four drafts
  - Lots of editorial changes suggested
- Draft authors have been asked to make new versions, making changes/improvements as suggested
- Security ADs asked for review/help with our ‘Security Considerations’ sections
- New revisions will go to IETF Last Call
Bert asked

“Is this a document to describe realistic applicability of IPFIX, or Is it more of a marketing or promotional document to try and push it into all sorts of existing systems?

Suggestion: ask IPPM, AAA, IDMEF, RMONMIB, RTP WGs for comment

Draft authors believe it follows guidelines in RFC 2026

We will ask the above WGs for feedback

What do you think? Feedback to IPFIX list, please!
Information Model

- New Information elements
  - Draft says “FCFS with expert review”
  - Bert suggests
    - Require proper documentation to be publicly available
    - Maybe set up some sort of template that MUST be filled out and approved to request registration
  - Would Standards Track action be better?
    - It would ensure better review and well-documented registrations

- Apps ADs asked for review/comment on the XML description
  - Quite a few errors found
  - The text descriptions are the normative ones, but we need to correct the XML