### **IPFIX** Reliability Extensions

IPFIX IETF-65 March 23rd, 2006

<draft-bclaise-ipfix-reliability-01.txt>

Paul Aitken <paitken@cisco.com>
Randall Stewart <rrs@cisco.com>
Peter Lei <peterlei@cisco.com>
Benoit Claise <bclaise@cisco.com>

#### **Problem Statement**

We spoke about IPFIX for billing for a long time on the mailing list:

**Transport protocol** 

**Application Level Acknowledgement?** 

RFC 3917

Usage Based Accounting: reliability is a MUST

"The reliability requirements defined in sections 5.1 and 6.3.2. are not sufficient to guarantee the level of reliability that is needed for many usage-based accounting systems."

Draft abstract

"Defines an extension to the IP Flow Information export (IPFIX) protocol in order to accommodate the specific requirements of billing."

# **Specifications Transport**

PR-SCTP [RFC-3758] MUST be used

Data Records MUST also be sent over a reliable stream

A second SCTP association MUST be opened in advance

All Templates and Option Templates MUST be sent ahead of time

The SCTP association parameters SHOULD be tuned in order to allow a minimum detection time in case of connection failure

The Exporting process MUST retransmit the SCTP unacknowledged information to its backup collector

## Specifications Reliable Server Pooling

- RSERPOOL architecture SHOULD be used
  - **Pool of Collecting Processes**
  - round-robin policy is the default RSerPool policy:
    - When a Collecting Process fails, will automatically select a new Collecting Process from the pool
- Note: draft-coene-rserpool-applic-ipfix-02.txt

## Specifications Uniqueness

- The Collecting Process MUST create an unique packet ID out of the IPFIX Message Export Time, Sequence Number, Source ID, and Exporter
- The Collector MUST associate every Data Record with this unique packet ID
- Primary Collector SHOULD check missing Data Records from other Collectors
- And de-duplication if necessary

### **Open Issues & Conclusion**

#### Open Issues:

Application Level ACK to be done Explain the communication between collector?

#### Conclusion:

No IPFIX protocol modifications, only extensions Even if not complete, this draft goal is to restart the reliability discussions