

66th IETF Meeting – July 2006

IPPM Working Group

IPPM bcp reporting registry

<http://www.amsterdamned.org/~henk/draft-stephan-ippm-reporting-registry-00.txt>

Emile Stephan

Overview

- o Motivation
- o Quick tour of the registry
- o ietfOneWayDelayMedian example

Motivation & benefits

- o It is not possible to define a small set of generic metric to report to users.
- o It is preferable to fully detail individual metrics applications without precluding to define other applications of (the same) metric later: a registry
- o Benefits of per metrics applications ?
 - Parameters, results, default values per metric usage or application
- o Benefits of a Registry ?
 - metric definition & application is a continuous process,
 - Parameters, default values differ with application
- o Benefits of BCP for reporting ?
 - composition of metric
 - metrics that may be combined for composition
 - Parameters, results, default values to help composition
 - User/ End-user metric
 - End-user metrics: fully detailed set of parameters, results, default values to apply to a IPPM user (stat) metric.
 - End-user metric
 - Ground-truth End-user metric: perceived quality
- o Benefits of common BCP for reporting IPPM metrics application and End-users metrics ?

Presentation of the registry

- o Metrics classification
- o Protocol neutral data type
- o Then list of BCP per metrics
 - Metrics for compositions
 - Users metrics applications
 - End-user metrics
 - Mos, Rfactor

ietfOneWayDelayMedian example

Classification:
composition, user

Composition:

participating metrics	composition metric
-----	-----
ietfOneWayDelayMedian	ietfCompOneWayDelayMedian
ietfSubpathOneWayDelayMedian	ietfCompOneWayDelayMedian
ietfPassiveOneWayDelayMedian	ietfCompOneWayDelayMedian
ietfCompOneWayDelayMedian	ietfCompOneWayDelayMedian

User Applications:

Application	pktSize	PPS	period	law	Timeout
default	dflt	10	dflt	poisson	2 s
default	dflt	100	dflt	random	2 s
rtp.voip	80	50	10 s	periodic	400ms