

Security Descriptions Extension for IPsec

draft-saito-mmusic-ipsec-negotiation-req-02
draft-saito-mmusic-sdes-ipsec-00

July 12, 2006

Makoto Saito (ma.saito@nttv6.jp)

Motivation

(draft-saito-mmusic-ipsec-negotiation-req-02)

- Using IPsec for Media Security
 - Desired by several vendors and ISPs for networked home appliance usage.
- Advantages
 - Many Vendors are already using IPsec.
 - Aggregation of a large number of media.

IPsec is a simple and comprehensive way in these cases.

Key-Exchange Methods

- IKE - Standard One
- Sdescriptions and Key-mgmt
 - “Advantages of Using SDP”
 - Simple round-trip
 - Quick start of media after the SDP exchange
 - Other Advantages
 - ✓ Authentication of IPsec is consistent with that of SIP/SDP.
 - ✓ Synchronization with the state of media session (start, refresh, end)

Proposal: Security Descriptions Extension

(draft-saito-mmusic-sdes-ipsec-00)

Security Descriptions

- General framework
- Only a profile of SRTP is defined for now
- Just adding a profile for IPsec (SA proposal) here
i.e. key material, IP address, spi, life time, etc.

Example

```
m=application 9 ESP_TRANSPORT sample-appl  
a=crypto:1 ESP_AES_CBC_128_HMAC_SHA1_96  
inline:ZmRrZWxzO3c5bHN1Zm9wZQ==  
|any|192.168.0.1:4321:sec:3600|:sec:3600
```

Offer

Answer

```
m=application 9 ESP_TRANSPORT sample-appl  
a=crypto:1 ESP_AES_CBC_128_HMAC_SHA1_96  
inline:MTIzNDU2Nzg5MGFiY2RlZg==  
|any|192.168.0.1:172.16.0.1|4321:sec:3600|1234:sec:3600
```

Next Step

- Several vendors already implemented it in a prototype format, and it's been working well.
- It seems like a good idea that the protocol format be specified in an IETF document.
- WG item or Individual submission for informational RFC?
- Any Comments?

Summary

- Requirements
 - Using IPsec for Media Security
 - Exchanging IPsec key in a simple and effective way:
Using SDP
- Proposal
 - Security Descriptions Extension for IPsec
- Next Step
 - WG item or Individual submission for informational RFC?