

NEMO WG Status, Hot Topics and Recharteing

NEMO additional page

<http://www.mobilenetworks.org/nemo>

thierry.ernst@inria.fr

66th IETF,

Montreal, Canada 11th July 2006

18:50-19:50

66th IETF - NEMO WG Agenda

- Welcome, Agenda Bashing 05mins
- NEMO WG Documents Status 10mins
- Recent Discussions on the ML
 - Deployment Requirements 10mins
 - IPv4 Network Mobility 05mins
 - IPv4/NAT Traversal Scenarios 10mins
 - Rechartering Items 20mins

NEMO WG Document Status

66th IETF NEMO WG: Status

- NEMO Basic Support
 - RFC 3963 Standards track (Jan.05)
 - Implementations available
 - e.g. Linux 2.6 (NEPL) and BSD (Shisa)
 - <http://www.nautilus6.org/implementation>
 - Commercial products exist
 - Considered in the CALM architecture for ITS communications
 - cf ISO TC 204 WG 16 and 63th IETF NEMO WG proceedings
<http://www3.ietf.org/proceedings/05aug/index.html>
 - <http://www.calm.hu>

66th IETF - NEMO WG Status

- NEMO Home Network Models
 - draft-ietf-nemo-home-network-models-06.txt (Feb.06)
 - Companion document on 'usages'
 - RFC Editor Queue
 - Issue List:
<http://www.mobilenetworks.org/~pthubert/draft-ietf-nemo-home-network-models-issu>
- NEMO Management Information Base
 - draft-ietf-nemo-mib-01.txt (Jul.05)
 - New version (probably last) expected shortly

66th IETF - NEMO WG Status

- NEMO Support Terminology
 - draft-ietf-nemo-terminology-05.txt (Mar.06)
 - Passed NEMO WG Last Call.
 - Remaining inconsistency with Home Network Models, updated version to be shipped to IESG.
 - Issue List: <http://www.sfc.wide.ad.jp/~ernst/nemo>
- NEMO Support Requirements
 - draft-ietf-nemo-terminology-05.txt (Oct.05)
 - Passed NEMO WG Last Call. To be shipped to IESG.
 - Issue List: <http://www.sfc.wide.ad.jp/~ernst/nemo>

66th IETF - NEMO WG Status

- NEMO RO Problem Statement
 - 2 WG drafts
 - Draft-ietf-nemo-ro-problem-statement-02 (Dec.05)
 - Draft-ietf-nemo-ro-space-analysis-02 (Feb.06)
 - Passed NEMO WG Last Call
 - To be sent to IESG shortly

66th IETF - NEMO WG Status

- Analysis of Multihoming in Network Mobility Support
 - draft-ietf-nemo-multihoming-issues-06.txt (Jun.06)
 - Issue List
<http://www.mobilenetworks.org/nemo/draft-ietf-nemo-multihoming-issues/>
 - NEMO WG Last Call to be issued
 - Any concern ?

66th IETF - NEMO WG Status

- NEMO Prefix Delegation
 - 2 WG drafts
 - draft-ietf-nemo-dhcpv6-pd-01 (Mar.06)
 - draft-ietf-nemo-prefix-delegation-00 (Aug.05)
 - Authors are considering merging the 2 drafts
 - Draft(s) must be updated to take into account multihoming considerations
 - cf draft-ietf-nemo-multihoming-issues
 - Not enough comments have been expressed on the ML so far, or not reasonably well taken into account
 - Some people have expressed concerns that the documents were accepted as WG without enough prior discussion

66th IETF - NEMO WG Status

- IPv4 and NAT Traversal
 - DSMIPv6: draft-ietf-mip6-nemo-v4-traversal-02 (Jun.06)
 - Design Team: mip6trans
 - Both MIP6 WG and NEMO WG doc
 - linked from the MIP6 WG page only due to technical reasons.
- IPv4 Basic Support
 - draft-ietf-nemo-v4-base-01 (Jun.06)
 - Informational draft
 - Seems ready for WGLC

Recent Discussions on the ML: NEMO Basic Support (RFC 3963) Deployment Requirements

Deployment Requirements

- Was proposed to add a section in draft-ietf-nemo-requirements
 - AD & Chairs decided to ship draft-ietf-nemo-requirements right away to the IETF.
- General requirements and industry specific requirements
- Individual submissions expected for industry-specific req.
- Note that deployment requirements may involve other WGs (e.g. IPv6, Mipshop)

Deployment Requirements

- Aviation industry: Internet access for passengers and traffic control
 - Worldwide sites, NEMOs moving in between
 - cf Connexion by Boeing (presentation during IAB plenary Mar.2005) and discussion on the ML
 - Req:
 - RO
 - Multiple ISPs and Navigation Service Providers
 - Air control traffic separated from passengers' traffic
 - Global HAHA

Deployment Requirements

- Car industry: remote monitoring, navigation, and emergency calls
 - Communications vehicle-infrastructure and vehicle-vehicle
 - cf ISO's CALM, C2CCC, CVIS, SafeSpot, ...
 - Safety
 - Reliability
 - QoS requirements
 - RO between the NEMO and the access network
 - Fast Horizontal Handovers (with RFC 3963)
 - Resource Reservation for MNNs
 - Vertical handovers

Deployment Requirements

- Public transport industry: Internet access for passengers
 - No specific need for reliability and safety
 - Billing and access control: AAA (Diameter)
 - Nested NEMO

Recent Discussions on the ML: IPv4 Network Mobility

IPv4 Network Mobility Reminder

- WG initially proposed to work on IPv6 only (cf MONET BOF in Mar.02)
- Limited set of people said they would work on IPv4 (so, it was added in the charter) but didn't
- No input until 2005
- Sudden request to accept a standard for IPv4 network mobility
- Was finally accepted as a WG doc provided:
 - Requestors commit to produce the document
 - This incurs minimum work load to the NEMO WG
 - The document is informational
 - This would be the only document

IPv4 Network Mobility - Next

- Questions whether work on IPv4 is considered useful or not at the IETF
- Questions about where this should be done at the IETF, if work on IPv4 is appropriate
 - NEMO WG originally set up to work on IPv6 (see previous slide), and must focus on RFC 3963 deployments and improvements.
 - Working on IPv4 and IPv6 in the same WG is confusing (this is why former MobileIP WG was split into MIP4 and MIP6)
 - MIP4 chairs seem to favor work been done in their group (provided the work is needed)
- Better approach might be to support IPv4 mobile subnets using IPv6 mobility support
- Relation/redundancy between draft-ietf-mip6-nemo-v4-traversal and plain IPv4 work

Recent Discussions on the ML: NEMO WG Rechartering

NEMO WG Rechartering

- Should this be happening now, or later once we get input on deployment requirements ?
 - Conclusion: Recharter now with an updated charter

NEMO WG Rechartering

- Charter updated since IETF 65th Dallas
 - http://www.mobilenetworks.org/nemo/charter2_2.txt
 - Still a draft version
 - Comment: charter must be **more specific** on which exact work to be done on multihoming and RO

NEMO WG Rechartering - RO

- Next steps: slides “RO next steps” at 63rd IETF
- RO Pb well understood
 - draft-ietf-nemo-ro-pb-statement
 - draft-ietf-nemo-ro-space-analysis
 - RO slides 63rd IETF
- RO Needs not well understood
 - define use cases => deliverable (deployment requirements ?)
 - Standardize 2 or 3 drafts as experimental
 - Limited to bidirectional tunneling based solutions which utilize MIP signaling
 - Investigate possible RO extensions to add to HMIPv6 (currently under revisions in the MIPSHOP WG)

NEMO WG Rechartering

- Fault Tolerance
 - When MR-HA link is affected
 - Failures on that link are much more likely to occur
 - Somewhat related to “Ingress Filtering” in the (n,n,n) case
 - the solution for fault tolerance can solve the ingress filtering problem
 - Discussed on the ML in 2006-Apr-05
 - Solution could be based on Appendix B from draft-ietf-nemo-multihoming-issues

NEMO WG Rechartering

- Deployment Requirements
 - Gathering deployment requirements is necessary before an in-depth rechartering of the NEMO WG (for the long run)
 - Could be a WG item to gather the req.
 - include a deliverable on use cases & deployment requirements
- Global HAHA for RO and multihoming
 - <http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-thubert-nemo-global-haha-01.txt>

NEMO WG Rechartering

- Misc
 - RFC 3963 revision needed ?
 - Loop Prevention
 - cf draft-ietf-nemo-multihoming-issues
 - MANEMO work ?