## **IETF History and Architecture**

Allison Mankin

mankin@psg.com

## Purpose

- Brief background on signaling at IETF
- IETF perspectives
  - Earlier considerations of offpath
  - Considerations on protocol extensions

### RSVP and NSIS

#### RSVP

- Grew on resource allocation
- On path, discovery in all
- Additional applications (MPLS uses) as a variant

#### NSIS

- Two layers for independence from applications
- On path, discovery one mode
- Partial decoupling in development

#### **RSVP Extension**

- RSVP design with objects, objectspecific parameters, filters, temptingly extensible
- The extensions uses the syntax, change the protocol state machine
- RFC 3936 (2004) manages the extensibility but the original protocol is RFC 2205 (1997)

#### **NSIS** Extension

- Transport protocol GIST
- Application protocols
  - NSLP (qos)
  - NATFW
  - ... (uncharted)
- Extensibility design is in final stages of review by working group
- Perhaps GIST could convey signaling application for this research

#### SIP

- SIP design: one UA offers characteristics of a media stream, including its endpoint coordinates, peer answers with agreed-on values (its own and offerors').
- They arrange establishment of the offpath media stream
  - In practice: considerable effort to re-couple and other complexities...but the architecture is there

### SIP Extension

- SIP design with text-based, unordered headers and object inclusion, is also temptingly extensible
  - Despite mechanisms for checking peer support, dialectization and success failure loomed
- RFC 3427 (2002), following the SIP spec, RFC 3267 (2002), manages the extensibility.
  - Note: same year, not 9 year gap!

# Offpath Signaling

- One experience with offpath signaling:
  SIP operator pressures
- Other: since chartering, NSIS has had requests for signaling not to be bound to the path
  - Central control
  - Signaling in entities not only in the path (partial decoupling)

#### PADS BoF

- PAth-Decoupled Signaling (PADS) was a TSV BoF in Spring 2003.
- It focused on centralized control, architected something like a vpn
  - Its orientation was qos and te. Compare the current PCE WG for MPLS
- People identified scaling and routing concerns strong enough to proceed

## Partial Decoupling

- NSIS has a proposal to allow entities not onpath to participate in a supporting manner
- Just an informational pointer: draft-hancock-nsis-pds-problem-03

#### Conclusions?

- Choose to extend an existing protocol only with great care (even when the protocol is new)
- Understand the RSVP and SIP experiences
- Research here is a great idea even with those caveats