

draft-ietf-crisp-iris-lwz-06
draft-ietf-crisp-iris-xpc-04
draft-ietf-crisp-iris-common-
transport-03

(the drafts I should have updated but didn't because I'm lazy)

CRISP Working Group, IETF 67
7 November 2006
San Diego, CA, US
Andrew Newton

LWZ Security

- Usual bloviation from security wonks
 - Need to state the consequences if this public data is modified.
 - Point specifically to the BCP 38 on UDP reflection attacks
 - (though they didn't know what it was)
- Point to XPC if you want ANY security.

LWZ Congestion Control

- Congestion Control is completely absent.
- No particular consistency among UDP apps.
- My suggestion: follow DTLS in emulating TCP timeout/backoff.
 - Initial timeout of 1 second.
 - Double value at each retransmission
 - Up to the maximum of 60 seconds

LWZ Gen-Art Review

- UDP header should be removed
- Many small, but fair nits
- Suggestion to point out that XPC should be used for many, many thousands of transactions instead of spending a lot of time on non-random transaction IDs.

XPC Gen-Art Review

- Need ASCII diagrams in Section 5 & 6 of block header and chunk descriptor
- Section 6: chunk ordering and combination restrictions need to be separated out for clarity.
- Section 9: explain when/why TLS is to be used.
- 500 other nits from Marcos

XPC Security Review

- Need to comply with Section 4 of RFC 4422.
- Changes needed:
 - mostly text pointing out compliance
 - XML in common-transport may need to contain arbitrary data in authentication notices.
 - Restrict XPC to one SASL mechanism at a time.

Common Transport

- Modification to meet SASL requirements.
 - (mentioned before)
- Text stating that protocols using security measures should offer the authenticationIds (i.e. <version>) in initial responses to avoid downgrade attacks.