

# SSP-Requirements-02

IETF67

Michael Thomas

# Current Status

- Rev 02 was mainly a cleanup
- Added new scenarios for all of the requirements
- Divided scenarios into “use” scenarios and “deployment” scenarios
- Tightened Abstract and Intro
- Requirements now reference relevant scenarios
- Added legibility of practices
- Deleted designation provisional requirement
  - Some question of how to word the ‘non requirement’

# Remaining Provisional Reqts

- 6.3.1 “I send no mail”
  - Pros: deployed now; fairly straightforward
  - Cons: isn’t about dkim per se; low impact
- 6.3.8 “Local Part Practices”
  - Pros: allows more incremental deployment
  - Cons: can be done using subdomains instead, adds complexity to protocol
- 6.3.12 “Crypto Biddown”
  - Pros: gives a way to say what algorithms are acceptable
  - Cons: doesn’t seem like it’s needed?

# Open Issues from the Tracker

- 1383 This documents's ultimate fate?
- 1356 Purpose of SSP (DC: clarified?)
- 1357 Clarity of usage scenarios (DC: clarified?)
- 1360 Designated Signing Domain Missing
- 1362 Scenario 4, resent (DC: clarified?)
- 1363 discovery requirement (DC: clarified?)
- 1365 typos (done?)
- 1382 new RR TYPE
- 1386 Downgrade attack (discussed previously?)

# Other Issues?

- Other Requirements?
- Overall “closeness” of the document?
- Do we need more here to go informational?