Current Status

- Rev 02 was mainly a cleanup
- Added new scenarios for all of the requirements
- Divided scenarios into “use” scenarios and “deployment” scenarios
- Tightened Abstract and Intro
- Requirements now reference relevant scenarios
- Added legibility of practices
- Deleted designation provisional requirement
  - Some question of how to word the ‘non requirement’
Remaining Provisional Reqs

- **6.3.1 “I send no mail”**
  - Pros: deployed now; fairly straightforward
  - Cons: isn’t about dkim per se; low impact

- **6.3.8 “Local Part Practices”**
  - Pros: allows more incremental deployment
  - Cons: can be done using subdomains instead, adds complexity to protocol

- **6.3.12 “Crypto Biddown”**
  - Pros: gives a way to say what algorithms are acceptable
  - Cons: doesn’t seem like it’s needed?
Open Issues from the Tracker

• 1383 This document’s ultimate fate?
• 1356 Purpose of SSP (DC: clarified?)
• 1357 Clarity of usage scenarios (DC: clarified?)
• 1360 Designated Signing Domain Missing
• 1362 Scenario 4, resent (DC: clarified?)
• 1363 discovery requirement (DC: clarified?)
• 1365 typos (done?)
• 1382 new RR TYPE
• 1386 Downgrade attack (discussed previously?)
Other Issues?

• Other Requirements?
• Overall “closeness” of the document?
• Do we need more here to go informational?