

ENUM Services Registration Guide

draft-ietf-enum-enumservices-guide-02

Bernie Hoeneisen <hoeneisen@switch.ch>

Alexander Mayrhofer <alexander.mayrhofer@enum.at>

Jason Livingood <Jason_Livingood@cable.comcast.com>

67th IETF, ENUM WG, 06 Nov 2006, San Diego

Changes since -01

- Editorial Issues
 - Slightly re-structured the document
 - Moved Changes and Open Issues to Appendices
 - Replaced numbers in examples with "Drama Numbers"
 - Replaced names in examples with John & Jane Doe
- Added collection of Open Issues / ToDo's to Appendix C
- Enhanced "Required Sections and Information" separated explanations and examples

Security Considerations

Issue:

a) There are security considerations applying to all ENUM services

How should we handle those?

Note: This probably has impact on issue g), which addresses document status to aim for: BCP, Informational, Standards Track)

Options:

1) *Repeat same security considerations in every service registration (e.g. put them to the template)*

2) *Reference them*

A) 3761bis

B) ENUM services guide

C) Separate document

Type and Subtype

b) Should a subtype always be mandatory?

– *yes / no*

c) If answer to b) is “no”:

How do we treat cases, where type:subtypeA has the same semantics as type without any subtype

– e.g. “foo:bar” means the same as “foo”,
but something else as “foo:cinema”

– Any special considerations on this?

d) Should separate subtypes have separate registrations?

– *yes / no*

Subtype and Uri Scheme

- e) Does URI scheme always match subtype
(consequence: only one URI scheme per subtype)?
 - *MUST / SHOULD / no*

- f) If answer to e) is “SHOULD” or “no”:
Should separate URI schemes have separate registrations?
 - *yes / no*

Other Issues

- g) What document status are we aiming to?
 - **BCP** / *Informational* / *Standards Track*
- h) Should this document update RFC 3761 (bis)?
 - *yes* / **no**
- i) Statement on non-terminal NAPTR needed?
 - *yes* / **no**
- j) Add something about “experimental” ENUM services?
 - **yes** (*what specifically?*) / *no*
- k) Add something about extension of existing ENUM services?
 - **yes** (*what specifically?*) / *no*
- l) What is the IANA impact of this document?

