Monami6 Working Group meeting Mobile Nodes and Multiple Interfaces in IPv6

IETF 67 – San Diego November the 10th 2006

Nicolas Montavont – GET / ENST Bretagne Thierry Ernst - INRIA

- Blue sheet
- Scribe

Web links

- Check the tools@ietf page
 - http://tools.ietf.org/wg/monami6/

- The additional page for Monami6 is on the wiking
 - http://www.nautilus6.org/ietf/monami6/
 - Provide information on meetings and documents
 - If you would like to add materials, contact us

Agenda (1/2)

1.	Welcome, agenda bashing 5 minutes
	• Chairs
2.	WG documents status
	• draft-ietf-monami6-multihoming-motivation-scenario-01 (chairs
	 draft-ietf-monami6-mipv6-analysis-01 (chairs)
	 draft-ietf-monami6-multiplecoa-01 (Ryuji Wakikawa)
3.	Aviation and aerospace multihoming issues 15 minutes
	Terry Davis and William Ivancic

Agenda (2/2)

1.	Flow binding in Mobile	IPv6	15 minutes
	 draft-soliman-mona 	ami6-flow-binding-03	
	 Hesham Soliman 		
6.	Filter rules		15 minutes
	 draft-larsson-monam 	i6-filter-rules-01.txt	
	 draft-kauppinen-mon 	ami6-binding-filter-rule-00.txt	
	 Conny Larsson 		
6. Interface selection for a multihomed mobile network			5 minutes
	draft-park-mis-01.txt		
	 Semun Lee 		
7.	Failover for Multiple M	obile Routers in a Mobile Network	5 minutes
	 draft-ryu-nemo-mr-fa 	ilover-02.txt	
	 Seungjae Lee 	http://www.nautilus6.org/ietf/monami6/	

Update of

Motivations and Scenarios for Using Multiple Interfaces and Global Addresses

draft-ietf-monami6-multihoming-motivation-scenario-01.txt

Authors

T. Ernst (INRIA)

N. Montavont (GET-ENST Bretagne)

R. Wakikawa (Wide)

C. Ng (Panasonic Singapore Labs)

K. Kuladinithi (University of Bremen)

- Address Pekka comments:
 - Scenarios are too optimistic
 - Remove the satellite technology from scenarios

- Scenario 3.3: Need to Set Up Preferences
 - Pekka: "technically correct, but seems not to be economically solvable"
 - ==> We describe more static preferences

Old:

"Nami works at home for a publishing company. She has an in-housenetwork and get access to the Internet via ADSL, a public 802.11b WLAN from the street and satellite. She has subscribed to the cheapest ADSL service with limited uplink bandwidth. Also, the satellite link she has access to is downlink only, but it is extremely cheap for TV broadcasting. She has noticed the 802.11b link is unreliable at some point in time during the day, so she chooses to send requests and periodic refreshments for joining the TV broadcasting via ADSL rather than 802.11b although it is free. On the other hand, she has configured her network to use the 802.11b link at night to publish web content comprising video. Once a week, she communicates with overseas peer staff by videoconferencing. Voice being the most important, she has configured her VoIP session over ADSL. Video is sent at maximum rate when 802.11b is working fine, otherwise the video is sent at lower rate."

New:

"Nami works at home using her connection to the Internet via ADSL and a public 802.11b WLAN from the street. She is also subscribed to digital video broadcasting. Because the public WLAN is not secure, she downloads email from her company using the ADSL link even thoughthe WLAN service is free. When she is accessing her personal free web-mail account, which would then use the WLAN service. She hasnoticed the 802.11b link is unstable during the day, so she chooses to send requests and periodic refreshments for joining the digital video broadcasting via ADSL rather than the free WLAN services."

- Scenario 3.5. Need to Dispatch Traffic over Distinct Paths
 - WLAN is not usable on highways

==> Change WLAN to Wimax technology for cars Internet connection

- Scenario 3.7. Need to Accelerate Transmission
 - Use multiple paths to accelerate transmission
 - Distribute flows over multiple interfaces

Pekka ==> this scenario assumes that the download flows are separable, i.e., different flows. In the specific case described here, this is not given, and in the general case when you have something you'll need to finish ASAP, I'm not sure if this kind of approach is applicable except possibly when using p2p file sharing techniques.

Authors ==> **We didn't make any change**, because this shows the problem that we need to solve: when you launch a download, take the less loaded interface

Section 4. Goals and Benefits of Multihoming

Address the comment from Frederic Klamm: added this text:

These benefits and goals listed here are by no means distinct and separate; most of them overlap with one another. It is not the objective here to classify the benefits and goals into different non-overlapping consituents. Instead the objective is to list the possible benefits and goals different people have when deploying a multihomed node.

- Flow redirection has been added to several places
 - Goal and benefit
 - 4.3 Flow redirection

To be able to redirect flow from one interface, or one address to another one, without having to re-initiate the flow. This can be due to preference changes or upon network failure.

Analysis - Case 1: One Interface, Multiple Prefixes

Flow redirection: YES

The node might need to redirect a flow from one address to another for several reasons. For example, if one of the IPv6 prefix becomes unavailable, flows using the corresponding prefix need to be redirected on an address using another prefix http://www.nautilus6.org/ietf/monami6/

Analysis - Case 2: Several interfaces

Flow redirection: YES

In case of a change in user preferences, or a failure, flows might need to be redirected from one interface to another one. Flows can be redirected individually or all flows attached to an interface might be redirected at once.

- 5.2. Case 2: Several Interfaces
 - Aggregated Bandwidth

Change text from

With multiple interfaces connected **to a link**, the node generally will be able to benefit from an increased aggregated bandwidth.

With multiple interfaces connected **to different links**, the node generally will be able to benefit from an increased aggregated bandwidth.

Conclusion – next steps

Need more reviews

Due on Nov. 2006 (submission to the IESG)

Next steps

- Ask the WG to review the document
- Issue a WG last call in few weeks

Status of

Analysis of Multihoming in Mobile IPv6

draft-ietf-monami6-mipv6-analysis-01.txt

Authors

N. Montavont (GET-ENST Bretagne)

R. Wakikawa (Wide)

T. Ernst (INRIA)

C. Ng (Panasonic Singapore Labs)

K. Kuladinithi (University of Bremen)

Status of WG documents

- Waiting for shepherd reviews
- Also needs WG review

- Proposed schedule
 - Focus first on goals and motivation draft
 - Issue a WG LC on draft-ietf-monami6-multihomingmotivation-scenario
 - Focus on MIPv6 analysis
 - Issue a WG LC on draft-ietf-monami6-mipv6-analysis