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Problem Overview

About Policy:
- Policies can be defined by both the initiator and responder.
- Policies are described in an abstract high level “language” and influence for instance which interface to use given the current state of the node.
- Policies could either be pre-installed in the node or distributed dynamically in runtime.
- Policies are generally asymmetric, i.e. two communicating nodes do not need to have the same set of policies.

Filter Rules:
- Filter rules can be defined by both the initiator and responder.
- Filter rules could either be pre-installed in the node or distributed dynamically in runtime.
- Filter rules are typically created when an event occurs, e.g. at the launch of applications.
- Filter rules may be useful not only for MIPv6 (Monami6) but also for MIPv4, HIP and possibly SHIM6 and other protocols.

Mobility Management:
- Mobility Management signaling is used to bind and rebind filter rules to the recipient entity (i.e. care-of address) in the stack.
- Used when the available access types are changed in a node.

Scope for draft-larsson-monami6-filter-rules:
- Defines a filter rule transfer mechanism.
- Defines a Filter Interface Identifier (FIID)
Filter Rule Transfer Mechanism

- A filter consists of a set of filter rules
- Filter rules:
  - Each filter rule is associated with a Filter Interface Identifier (FIID).
  - The filter rule definition language is OpenBSD’s Packet Filter.
  - A filter rule operates on individual packets, and is used to capture the notion of generalized flows.
  - Filter rules may be defined by both the mobile node and the network side.
  - Filter rules could either be static (i.e. preconfigured) or dynamically defined, e.g. when an application opens a socket.
  - Applications can dynamically define filter rules for a specific traffic flow.
  - The set of filter rules should exist on both sides.
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Packet Format

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+-----------------------------------------------+
| Source Port | Destination Port |
+-----------------------------------------------+

+-----------------------------------------------+
| Length | Checksum |
+-----------------------------------------------+

+-----------------------------------------------+
| Option Type | Status | Reserved |
+-----------------------------------------------+

+-----------------------------------------------+
| PF Payload |
+-----------------------------------------------+

- The protocol used to distribute the filter rules is UDP
- The filter rules are stored in ASCII text format (PF Payload)
- The transfer mechanism is bi-directional
  - i.e. both involved nodes are able to modify the filter rules
- PF Update includes the entire packet filter specification
  - Optimizations possible but not defined in current version.
- Two messages are defined:
  - Packet Filter Update
  - Packet Filter Acknowledgement
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Two levels of indirection when mapping FIID to BID

Updates to the filter rules are independent of the binding between FIID and BID

Example 1: New filter rule created, e.g. when an application opens a socket.

Existing set of filter rules:

Event causing a new filter rule to be created:

A modified set of filter rules must be sent to the filtering peer, however, no binding information needs to be updated.
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Two levels of indirection when mapping FIID to BID

Updates to the filter rules are independent of the binding between FIID and BID

Example 2: A new physical interface is added.

Existing interfaces:

A new interface is activated:

The binding between FIID and BID must be updated, however, the set of filter rules does not have to be updated.
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Summary

- Policy, filter rule and mobility management are separate issues and should be handled by separate protocols.
- The proposed protocol is independent of the mobility protocol.
  - It works equally well for MIPv6, MIPv4, HIP and other mobility protocols.
- IP version agnostic since it’s built on UDP.
- Bi-directional, e.g., in MIP either the MN or the HA may send filter rule updates.