The Multi6 Problem

- how to support IPv6 end-site configurations that have multiple external connections to support application-level session resiliency across connectivity failure events

- how to use IPv6 multi-addressing and connection-based address aggregates to avoid overloading the routing system with site-based specific address advertisements
The SHIM6 Approach

• host-based solution
  (rather than host / router interaction)

• network layer approach – per host pair
  (rather than transport – per session)

• discoverable negotiated capability
  (rather than a new protocol service)

• no new identifier space
Shim6

Figure 1: shim6 architecture
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SHIM6 Control Elements

- initial handshake (4-way) and locator set exchange
- locator list updates
- explicit locator switch request
- keepalive
- reachability probe exchange
- No-Context error exchange
SHIM6 Proto Issues

• Interaction with IPSEC BITW
  – Case where IPSEC is applied at the interface
  – Solution: implement part of shim6 proto in the BITW

• Is this an extension to SHIM6 decoders allowing variable Shim6 / IPsec header processing depending on header ordering?
  – It is possible to put shim6 on top of IPSec, maybe suboptimal (IKE for each locator pair)
    • IPSec Transport mode vs. IPSec Tunnel mode
    • This is not IPSec security for shim6 signalling
SHIM6 Proto Issues

• Provide shim6 security based on IPSec SAs
  – Option 1: use certificates with ULIDs in them
    • How do you issue this certificates
      – Address autoconf, DHCP,
    • Revocation
  – Option 2: use pre-existent IPSec trust relationships
    • Still need to change IPSec so that SAs are dynamically created when a locator pair changes
    • This is functionally what mobike does…
SHIM6 Proto Issues

• Support for multiple ULID security mechanisms
  – Already have HBA, CGA HBA/CGA hybrids
  – Is the protocol spec already sufficiently modular wrt ULID security?
  – Do we need to support other security mechanisms
    • DNS
    • SSL certificates
  – Do we need to support different security for client and server?
  – Do we need error messages to express no support for a sec mechanism? See key length section…
• DoS attacks based on exhausting the $2^{47}$ context tag space
  – Would the 4-way handshake enough to protect this?
SHIM6 Proto Issues

• About forking
  – The whole point of shim6 is to make locator transparent to ULP, then why forking?
  – Examples of apps using forking
  – Transport Area AD request for support for different transports
• Allowing a shim6 host to continue using a renumbered prefix may create confusion and security issues
  – Proposal: remove recommendation about keeping shim6 context even if the prefix was renumbered
• Shim6 protocol should define minimum CGA key length?
  – What would be the minimum length?
  – Do we need to define an error message for different security mechanisms/key lengths? Currently ICMP parameter problem in the UPDATE case and silent discard in I2/R2 case
• Define the BROKEN flag
SHIM6 HBA Issues

• IPR Concerns
  – IPR statements on CGA with potential HBA relevance have been clarified to the WG
• Use of protocol structures that are compatible with CGA
  – Is this acceptable to the WG?
• IANA Considerations
  – Is “CGA Extension Type” a new IANA registry?
    • RFC 4581 creates the CGA Extension type registry
• Security Considerations
  – Is the “Interaction with ISPEC” section finished?
  – Is the “SHA-1 Dependency” section finished?
    – draft-bagnulo-multiple-hash-cga-00
• SECDIR review: added motivation, overview and threat model section
• Other Issues?
SHIM6 Failure Detection Issues

• Have all the identified issues been addresses in the -06 version of this draft?
Shim6 WG Last Call

- Is the WG ready to pass these 3 base drafts to the IESG for publication as Proposed Standard?