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draft-wenger-avt-rtp-svc-03 / -00: Changes

- Alignment with JVT Joint Draft 8, Hangzhou Meeting, October 2006
- Removed SSRC mux in -00, also removed from draft-schierl-mmusic-layered-codec-03
- For transport differentiation: Session mux. only
- Changed use-case for MANE as translator
- Extended header for PACSI NAL unit
- Added recommendatory packetization rules for SEI messages and non-VCL NAL units
- Added the fields of picture start, picture end, and TI0PicIdx to PACSI NAL unit
- PACSI now contains JVT related IPR
Rolling up: SSRC discussion

• Original SSRC multiplexing use-case for SVC:
  Adaptation of encrypted and authenticated content without being in
  the security context.
• Found out: Not possible, since RTCP receiver feedback is also
  authenticated within SRTP
  But adaptation requires adjustment of RTCP feedback
• That means: Not possible to cover full SRTP functionality, but may
  be still a use case
• Remaining use-case:
  (1) Adaptation of Payload encrypted media stream without
      authentication
  (2) Adaptation of media stream without parsing Payload header in
      strongly restricted use-cases
• Does AVT think these are compelling use-cases?
Open issues/TBD:

• (De)-Packetization rules need work
• Alignment with the SVC specification (ongoing)
• Usage with the SDP Offer/Answer Model
• Usage in Declarative Session Descriptions
• Usage with Capability Negotiation
• Session multiplexing: more rules for DON generation and DON specific parameters
• Parameter Set considerations
• Example section
Thanks for your attention!