

Prague, March 2007

IETF 68 – IFARE

IPsec Failover and Redundancy Problem Statement and Goals

draft-vidya-ipsec-failover-ps-01

Presented by: Yaron Sheffer

Contributors

- Lakshminath Dondeti
- Paul Hoffman
- Tero Kivinen
- Gregory Lebovitz
- Marcus Leech
- Cheryl Madson
- Vidya Narayanan (Ed.)
- Michael Richardson
- Sheela Rowles
- Yaron Sheffer
- Marcus Stenberg
- Brian Weis

The Problem

- **Fast re-establishment of IPsec SAs**
- **What forces clients to re-establish IPsec SAs**
 - Network failures (affect reachability to IPsec gateways)
 - Gateway failures
 - Failure of application servers using IPsec
- **Issues with re-establishment**
 - Large number of clients establishing SAs with gateways after failover in a short time span
 - IKEv2 is computationally expensive
 - DH and potential use of public keys
 - When EAP is used for client authentication in IKEv2
 - SA establishment involves several more roundtrips
 - User may be prompted again for credentials
 - Too many hits on the AAA server

Applicability

- **Servers using IPsec**

- Other applications such as Mobile IPv6 use IPsec for protection of signaling
 - **IPsec may be used in tunnel or transport mode**
- Applications may have interoperable solutions for server failover
 - **Incomplete without IPsec failover**
 - **Either interoperability or seamless failover is not available without IPsec failover**
- Application servers handling large number of clients have to handle large number of IPsec SAs
 - **SAs may be a mix of transport and tunnel mode**

- **IPsec Gateways**

- Always handle tunnel mode traffic

IPsec Failover Solutions Today

- **Run IKEv2 again with the new gateway**
 - Inevitable today when the gateway address changes
 - Inevitable if client or gateway has reset the session state
- **Proprietary solutions exist when gateways have the same address**
 - Failover transparent to clients
 - Gateway to gateway SA transfer protocol is proprietary
- **What's wrong with this state of affairs?**
 - Problems with running IKEv2 again covered in the previous slide
 - Proprietary solutions have obvious limitations
 - Gateways cannot be distributed globally without complex network planning
 - Gateways cannot all be active for the same IP address
 - Lack of interoperability

Solution Goals (1/2)

- **Distributed Failover**

- Gateways may be located at different sites and may not share the same IP address or have the same view of the network

- **Client Involvement**

- Given that the gateways may be distributed, the failover cannot be transparent to the client

- **Low Latency failover**

- IPsec gateway having to handle a flood of IKEv2 exchanges upon a failover
- Low latency requirements of applications that use IPsec, e.g., Mobile IPv6

- **Application Usage of IPsec**

- Need to take requirements of applications of IPsec in designing the failover solution

Solution Goals (2/2)

- **Interoperability**
 - Client-gateway and gateway-gateway interoperability is required
- **Stateless Failover**
 - Infrastructure remains stateless; state is stored in the client
- **Stateful Failover**
 - Must be possible to store IKEv2/IPsec state in the infrastructure
- **Support for IPsec transport and tunnel modes**

Thank You!