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Cascading Common Properties

- Common Properties can be defined in terms of other Common Properties
- Circular definitions
  - “any set of Common Properties which contains, either directly or via other cascaded Common Properties, references to itself in its own definition”
  - are NOT ALLOWED
- References other Common Properties MUST be promptly resolved
- Ordering must be maintained
OTHER CHANGES

- Document in line with IPFIX-PROTO
- Multiple data reduction text has been modified to better show its potential
- Removed templateID as scope for Common Properties
- Reduced size encoding is RECOMMENDED

3 examples:
- Single (per-packet) data reduction
- Multiple (flow) data reduction
- CP Withdraw Message
...and of course:

- Cf. the long list of emails on ipfix@ietf.org
- Language (many clarifications)
- Examples
  - RFC3849-compliant addresses
  - Removed Enterprise-specific IE
  - Improved Figures
- Editing checks
- ...
CONCLUSIONS

- Thanks to all those who have sent feedback to the mailing list!