# Reducing Redundancy in IPFIX and PSAMP Reports

draft-ietf-reducing-redundancy-03

Elisa Boschi, Lutz Mark, Benoit Claise

## **STATUS**

- Working Group Last Call in December 2006
- Post-LC version (-02) published in February 2007
- Version -03 (only nits corrected) currently under IESG Review

### **CHANGES: New structure**

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Terminology
- 3. Specifications for bandwidth saving information export
- 3.1. Problem Statement and High Level Solution
- 3.2. Data Reduction technique
- 4. Transport Protocol Choice
- 4.1. PR-SCTP
- 4.2. UDP
- 4.3. TCP
- 5. commonPropertiesID Management
- 6. The Collecting Process Side
- 6.1. UDP
- 6.2. TCP
- 7. Advanced Techniques
- 7.1. Multiple Data Reduction
- 7.2. Cascading Common Properties
- 8. Export and Evaluation Considerations
- 8.1. Transport Protocol Choice
- 8.2. Reduced Size Encoding
- 8.3. Efficiency Gain

# **Cascading Common Properties**

- □ Common Properties can be defined in terms of other Common Properties
- Circular definitions
  - "any set of Common Properties which contains, either directly or via other cascaded Common Properties, references to itself in its own definition"
  - are NOT ALLOWED
- □ References other Common Properties MUST be promptly resolved
- Ordering must be maintained

#### OTHER CHANGES

- Document in line with IPFIX-PROTO
- Multiple data reduction text has been modified to better show its potential
- Removed templateID as scope for Common Properties
- □ Reduced size encoding is RECOMMENDED
- 3 examples:
  - Single (per-packet) data reduction
  - Multiple (flow) data reduction
  - CP Withdraw Message

## ...and of course:

- Cf. the long list of emails on <u>ipfix@ietf.org</u>
- Language (many clarifications)
- Examples
  - RFC3849-compliant addresses
  - Removed Enterprise-specific IE
  - ilmproved Figures
- Editing checks
- Ш ...

# **CONCLUSIONS**

Thanks to all those who have sent feedback to the mailing list!