IPR WG Meeting

IETF 68, Prague

Agenda

- 1300: Agenda bashing, selection of scribe
- 1305: Outgoing rights draft
  - Draft-ietf-ipr-outbound-rights-02
- 1315: Trouble ticket review
- 1325: Incoming rights draft
  - Draft-ietf-ipr-3978-incoming-00
- 1445: Summing up, next steps
- 1500: Close of meeting

Outgoing draft

- 1305: Outgoing rights draft
- Verification that no issues have been raised
  - Document talks about its own level of consensus
  - Simon's comments that are still issues
- Hum for approval to send to the IESG
- Draft: draft-ietf-ipr-outbound-rights-02

Matching issues to resolutions (1): Settled items

- **1166 Quotations from RFCs and I-Ds**
  Resolution: Permitted

- **1167 Excerpt labeling**
  Resolution: SHOULD label, format as appropriate

- **1168 non-code excerpts**
  Resolution: Permitted

- **1169 Modified excerpts**
  Resolution: Permitted for code, not permitted for non-code

- **1175 How can code be distinguished from non-code?**
  Resolution: List of types of content + a marker mechanism – Trust maintains

- **1199 What license should the IETF grant to third parties on Contributions?**
  Resolution: Unmodified excerpts for non-code, excerpt & modify for code

- **1212 Copyright statements in I-Ds and RFCs: Meaning?**
  Resolution: Basically meaningless in I-Ds, relevant for RFCs

- **1237 Should incoming rights be published as 3978 delta or replacement?**
  Resolution: Replacement

- **1238 Should secretariat ask for IPR clarification from IPR holder on 3rd party IPR disclosures**
  Resolution: Yes. draft-narten-ipr-3979-3rd-party-fix approved in January.

- **1239 Understanding intent of participants**
  Resolution: None needed.

- **1400 Permission to modify code: Unlimited or restrictable**
  Resolution: Unlimited

Matching issues to resolutions (2): Maybe not settled?

- **1246 Incoming rights: How much should be said about outgoing rights?**
  Not resolved, punted to next agenda item
- **1273 How do we usefully define "excerpt"?**
  San Diego: Somebody else's problem (closed)
- **1282 Should multiple copyright statements be permitted in I-Ds and RFCs?**
  Suggestion? none needed for I-D, RFC Editor matter for RFCs
  Need the ability to do "joint" for joint publication. Need to avoid lots of conflicting ones.
- **1337 Notices and Rights Required in RFC Editor Contributions**
  Proposal: RFC Editor's problem (+IAB) – not the WG's issue.
- **1338 Notices "normally placed at the end"**
  Word "normally" was chosen to be non-nonrmative. Don't check.
- **1339 Does RFC 3978 3.3.a.(E) grant third parties rights to modify source**
  Jorge believes that license permits extraction & bugfixing.

Incoming draft

- Introduction: Sections 1, 2 and 7
  - Do they say the right thing?
  - Are they sufficiently clear?
- Legal language: Sections 3-6, relevant definitions from 1
  - While we're waiting for Jorge's new proposed text: comments?
  - Do we depend on "Note Well", on boilerplate, or both?
- Rechecking consensus from Montreal: Do we need the legal text to be in this document?
  - As "initial version, to be modified by the Trust"?
  - As "this is the boilerplate, never modify it unless you have to"?
- Draft: draft-ietf-ipr-3978-incoming-00

End tasks

- 1445: Summing up, next steps
  - Boilerplate goes into Trust-maintained document
  - WG Last Call on the –outgoing document
    - Document to be held pending –incoming finished
  - New version of –incoming
    - Abstract, definition, intro/description, legal stuff
- 1404: Close of meeting