IPR WG Meeting

IETF 68, Prague
Agenda

• 1300: Agenda bashing, selection of scribe
• 1305: Outgoing rights draft
  – Draft-ietf-ipr-outbound-rights-02
• 1315: Trouble ticket review
• 1325: Incoming rights draft
  – Draft-ietf-ipr-3978-incoming-00
• 1445: Summing up, next steps
• 1500: Close of meeting
Outgoing draft

• 1305: Outgoing rights draft
• Verification that no issues have been raised
  – Document talks about its own level of consensus
  – Simon’s comments that are still issues
• Hum for approval to send to the IESG
• Draft: draft-ietf-ipr-outbound-rights-02
Matching issues to resolutions (1):
Settled items

- **1166 Quotations from RFCs and I-Ds**
  Resolution: Permitted
- **1167 Excerpt labeling**
  Resolution: SHOULD label, format as appropriate
- **1168 non-code excerpts**
  Resolution: Permitted
- **1169 Modified excerpts**
  Resolution: Permitted for code, not permitted for non-code
- **1175 How can code be distinguished from non-code?**
  Resolution: List of types of content + a marker mechanism – Trust maintains
- **1199 What license should the IETF grant to third parties on Contributions?**
  Resolution: Unmodified excerpts for non-code, excerpt & modify for code
- **1212 Copyright statements in I-Ds and RFCs: Meaning?**
  Resolution: Basically meaningless in I-Ds, relevant for RFCs
- **1237 Should incoming rights be published as 3978 delta or replacement?**
  Resolution: Replacement
- **1238 Should secretariat ask for IPR clarification from IPR holder on 3rd party IPR disclosures**
  Resolution: Yes. draft-narten-ipr-3979-3rd-party-fix approved in January.
- **1239 Understanding intent of participants**
  Resolution: None needed.
- **1400 Permission to modify code: Unlimited or restrictable**
  Resolution: Unlimited
Matching issues to resolutions (2): Maybe not settled?

- **1246 Incoming rights: How much should be said about outgoing rights?**
  Not resolved, punt to next agenda item

- **1273 How do we usefully define "excerpt"?**
  San Diego: Somebody else’s problem (closed)

- **1282 Should multiple copyright statements be permitted in I-Ds and RFCs?**
  Suggestion? None needed for I-D, RFC Editor matter for RFCs
  Need the ability to do “joint” for joint publication. Need to avoid lots of conflicting ones.

- **1337 Notices and Rights Required in RFC Editor Contributions**
  Proposal: RFC Editor’s problem (+IAB) – not the WG’s issue.

- **1338 Notices "normally placed at the end"**
  Word “normally” was chosen to be non-nonrmative. Don’t check.

- **1339 Does RFC 3978 3.3.a.(E) grant third parties rights to modify source**
  Jorge believes that license permits extraction & bugfixing.
Incoming draft

• Introduction: Sections 1, 2 and 7
  – Do they say the right thing?
  – Are they sufficiently clear?

• Legal language: Sections 3-6, relevant definitions from 1
  – While we're waiting for Jorge's new proposed text: comments?
  – Do we depend on "Note Well", on boilerplate, or both?

• Rechecking consensus from Montreal: Do we need the legal text to be in this document?
  – As "initial version, to be modified by the Trust"?
  – As "this is the boilerplate, never modify it unless you have to"?

• Draft: draft-ietf-ipr-3978-incoming-00
End tasks

• 1445: Summing up, next steps
  – Boilerplate goes into Trust-maintained document
  – WG Last Call on the –outgoing document
    • Document to be held pending –incoming finished
  – New version of –incoming
    • Abstract, definition, intro/description, legal stuff

• 1404: Close of meeting