

TCP Response to Lower-Layer Connectivity-Change Indications

draft-schuetz-tcpm-tcp-rlci-01

Simon Schuetz
NEC

Lars Eggert
Nokia

Wesley Eddy
Verizon

Yogesh Swami, Khiem Le
Nokia

IETF-68, Prague, Czech Republic

Problem to Solve and Basic Idea

- TCP is (mainly) unaware of events in lower layers \ can be inefficient in case of mobility and/or temporary connectivity disruptions
- RLCI
 - uses generic (technology-independent) indications from lower-layers (“something happened”)
 - avoids long idle time due to (repetitive) RTOs
 - re-probes path state to adapt faster to changed conditions
 - signals local indications to peer hosts through a new TCP option

Why RLCI at TCPM?

- RLCI provides generic approach to overcome problems in diverse networking scenarios
- handovers
 - adapt faster to new paths
 - friendlier: avoid over-loading new path
 - performance: quickly use available capacity
- connectivity disruptions
 - Increase performance and responsiveness

What's new?

- -00 version was presented at IETF-66
- cleaned up description of connectivity-change indication processing
- specification more formal
- developed preliminary NS-2 prototype
- first simulation results look promising
 - repeated “long disruption” scenarios from Simon’s and Lars’ CCR paper on retransmit-now mechanism*
 - got similar (good) results on performance improvements
- final simulation results will be made available

* Protocol Enhancements for Intermittently Connected Hosts.
Simon Schütz, Lars Eggert, Stefan Schmid and Marcus Brunner.
ACM Computer Communication Review (CCR),
Vol. 35, No. 3, July 2005, pp. 5-18.

Next Steps

- Would like to start discussion on mailing list
 - Is the problem considered worth being followed up?
 - Is the RLCI approach the right way to go?
 - Are there technical issues with current draft?
- Simulation results should be made available as soon as possible
- Consider it as candidate for Experimental RFC