



# nominet

## Name Server Control Protocol

John Dickinson

## Introduction

---

### We want to be able to

- Deploy multiple different name server implementations
- Control them all via a standard common interface
- Access all the features of modern servers
- Have access to
  - Control (rndc, pdns\_control, nsdc)
  - Configuration (named.conf, nsd.conf)
  - Monitoring

## Requirements

---

### We want the protocol to

- be extensible to allow implementers to add new objects and methods
- enable the operation of all major production name server implementations
  - authoritative and recursive
- be able to signal which operations are supported by the implementation being controlled

## Requirements

---

### The requirements of this protocol

- do not require support for specific function in a name server implementation
- do not dictate what data can be returned by the name server especially in response to a command, but should be flexible to allow any appropriate results

## Design choices were

- Format - SNMP, DNS, XML
  - Prefer XML as we are already working on a dns xml schema
- Transport - TCP, SOAP, XMLRPC ...
  - Don't think we need much complexity
- Security
  - Secure the channel
  - Authenticate the user

## Aims

- One day we hope this will be integrated into name servers
- For now use an agent on each server to wrap the name server implementation
  - As a side effect this would allow the emulation of features that are not currently implemented.
  - For example
    - zone creation in BIND

## Examples

---

### Example structure

- Control
  - Server
    - Start, stop, flush, set debug level
  - Zones
    - Refresh, Stop updates, send notifies

## Examples

---

### Example structure

- Configuration
  - Server
    - PID file, Enable recursion, Enable DNSSEC ...
  - Zone
    - Create and remove, Add and remove RR, Assign ACL ...
  - Log
    - Create, format, define events ...
  - Security
    - Create ACL, Generate DNSSEC Key, TSIG ...

## NSCP status

- We are currently writing the requirements based on.
  - A review of the capabilities of current implementations
  - Operational experience
  - A wish list of (good) ideas
- We will have an initial requirements and protocol draft ready for IETF 70.
- We are keen to work with others in this group and produce a control protocol that everyone can use.
  - Please let us know what you think.