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Volunteers

- Minutes
- Jabber Monitor
Agenda

15m status/administrivia
45m http-location-delivery
30m location conveyance in SIP and its interaction with PIDF-LO
20m dereferencing lbyr
   lbyr requirements
   held dereference BCP
   http using protocol
10m DHCP lbyr
30m location security requirements

Time Available Topics:
   relativeloc
   lis discovery
   lis2lis reqs
   held context mgmt
   held identity extensions
Status

- **IESG Processing:**
  - draft-ietf-geopriv-policy  Waiting for AD Go-Ahead
  - draft-ietf-geopriv-revised-civic-lo Publication Requested
  - draft-ietf-geopriv-radius-lo  Expert Review

- **In Flight:**
  - draft-ietf-geopriv-http-location-delivery
  - draft-ietf-geopriv-l7-lcp-ps
  - draft-ietf-geopriv-loc-filters
  - draft-ietf-geopriv-pdif-lo-profile

- **Recently Expired:**
  - draft-ietf-geopriv-binary-lci
pidf-lo-profile - What was the goal?

- Is this supposed to be a profile that applies to applications that want to convey a location object that speaks about *one location* with as little ambiguity as possible?

- Or is it supposed to be 4119bis, applying to any use of a PIDF-LO object in any context?
pidf-lo-profile - Presence data model

- 4479 defines new concepts for pidf
  - service
  - device
  - person

- Does it make sense for this profile to allow different locations to appear in stanzas that apply to different concepts?