

GEOPRIV

IETF69

Wednesday 0900-1130 State Ballroom

Volunteers

- Minutes
- Jabber Monitor

Agenda

15m status/administrivia

45m http-location-delivery

30m location conveyance in SIP and its interaction with PIDF-LO

20m dereferencing lbyr

- lbyr requirements

- held dereference BCP

- http using protocol

10m DHCP lbyr

30m location security requirements

Time Available Topics:

- relativeloc

- lis discovery

- lis2lis reqs

- held context mgmt

- held identity extensions

Status

- IESG Processing:
 - draft-ietf-geopriv-policy Waiting for AD Go-Ahead
 - draft-ietf-geopriv-revised-civic-lo Publication Requested
 - draft-ietf-geopriv-radius-lo Expert Review
- In Flight:
 - draft-ietf-geopriv-http-location-delivery
 - draft-ietf-geopriv-l7-lcp-ps
 - draft-ietf-geopriv-loc-filters
 - draft-ietf-geopriv-pdif-lo-profile
- Recently Expired:
 - draft-ietf-geopriv-binary-lci

pidf-lo-profile - What was the goal?

- Is this supposed to be a profile that applies to applications that want to convey a location object that speaks about *one location* with as little ambiguity as possible?
- Or is it supposed to be 4119bis, applying to any use of a PIDF-LO object in any context?

pidf-lo-profile - Presence data model

- 4479 defines new concepts for pidf
 - service
 - device
 - person
- Does it make sense for this profile to allow different locations to appear in stanzas that apply to different concepts?