IPR Working Group

IETF 69 – June 24, 2007

Chicago, USA

Agenda

- 1740: Welcome, scribe selection, agenda bashing
- 1750: Old issues review
- 1800: Outgoing document
  Open issues from WG Last Call.
- 1820: Incoming document: Issues
- 1850: End of meeting

Older issues (1): Resolved

- Resolved issues (review)
  - #1166 Quotations from RFCs and I-Ds
    Resolved – permitted
  - #1167 Excerpt labelling:
    Resolved – IETF Trust makes rules, with guidance
  - #1168 Non-code excerpts:
    Resolved – permitted
  - #1169 Modified non-code excerpts:
    Resolved – not permitted
  - #1175 Distinguishing code from non-code
    Resolved – type-of-content list + marker mechanism
  - #1199 What licensing to use for outgoing
    Resolved – principles decided, details left to trust

Older issues (2): Resolved

– #1237 Should incoming rights be published as 3978 delta or replacement?
Resolved – replacement

– #1246 Incoming rights: How much should be said about outgoing rights?
Resolved – not much, current text is proposal

– #1337 Notices and rights in RFC-Editor contributions
Resolved - Left to the RFC Editor to document

– #1400 Right to modify code: Unlimited or restrictable?
Resolved – Unlimited

– Closed, by roughly 9:0

Older issues (3): Punted

- #1273 How do we usefully define "excerpt"?
  Punted: An informal definition seems to be OK –
  details left to Trust.

- #1338 Notices "normally placed at the end"
  Punted: Left details of notices to be published by the
  IETF Trust

- #1339 Does RFC 3978 grant third parties right to
  modify source?
  Punted: intent to grant is made clear in new docs,
  IETF Trust will write new grant text.

- Punted by 9:0.5

Outgoing document

- Open issues
  - #1499: Use of MUST and SHOULD (Brian)
    8:1 for lowercase.
  - #1500: Software licensing (David Black)
    David Black to suggest text for recommending that the trust does not add restrictions. Consensus.
  - #1282 Should multiple copyright statements be permitted in THE CODE OF RFCs and I-Ds?
    NO – by 6:1. We do encourage acknowledgement of authors, also in comments in the code.
  - #1212 Copyright statements in I-Ds and RFCs
    We do not require "IETF Trust" copyright notices in I-Ds. We do require them in RFCs. Agreed (12:1)
- Is this document ready?
  - With fixes as specified above
  - No, more issues
    - We need to have the ability to raise issues against –outgoing at the time of WG Last Call for –incoming.
    - Conclusion: WG Last Call for –incoming will include the ability to raise issues with –outgoing.

Incoming document

- Software licenses: How do we ensure that the IETF can grant what's specified in –outgoing?
  - Some software licenses aren't compatible. That's OK.
  - Preferred course of action is to ask author for separate grant.
  - We'll sort it out if that's impossible.
- Authors' right: Should we include text instructing the Trust to license back to authors?
  - Add Standard SPARC addendum to -inbound
- Should I-Ds include "If published as RFC, copyright IETF Trust"?
  - Seems like a good idea.
- Should multiple copyright statements on a whole document be permitted?
  - Yes – by exception, the IAB must approve, case-by-case. Current practice.
- Ready for WG Last Call (once edited)?
  - Take question to the list.

Next steps

- Please review the documents!
  - New –incoming ~next week
  - New –outgoing 1 week after resolutions on list
- Consensus here to be verified on list
- -Outgoing is held until –Incoming has passed WG Last Call
- -Incoming last call (August) will ask for a recheck on –outgoing
- Both drafts will be sent to the IESG together (September)
- IETF Last Call in October
- Approval in November