Draft-chakrabarti-mip4-mcbc-01.txt

Alex Bachmutsky

Samita Chakrabarti

Gabriel Montenegro

Ahmad Muhanna Basavaraj Patil Yingzhe Wu

Background

- RFC3024 provides two alternatives for reverse tunneling (direct versus encapsulating)
- However, multicast/broadcast support requires the socalled encapsulating delivery style
- If using encapsulating delivery style *all* traffic (even unicast) must be encapsulated from MN to FA
- This introduces wireless packet overhead even for the more common unicast packets
- Folks desire a more optimized delivery option between the MN and the FA in the particular case of radio links of a p-p nature (e.g., WiMAX)

Proposal

- Multicast-Broadcast encapsulating delivery (MBED) style
 - Encapsulates MC/BC packets from MN to FA
 - Unicast packets are direct delivered between MN and FA
- MBED style extends RFC3024 encapsulating delivery style
- Link-layer assisted delivery style for links of a point-topoint nature (example: Wimax)
- MBED encapsulating extension
 - TLV structure; allows different delivery style (*including* RFC3024 encapsulating delivery style)

Multicast-Broadcast Encapsulating Delivery extension

- FA advertises MBED support in its Agent advertisement
- MN negotiates use of the MBED extension in its Registration Request