SIDR Working Group

Online Agenda and Slides at:
http://www3.ietf.org/proceedings/07jul/agenda/sidr.txt
https://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/69/materials.html

Jabber room: sidr@jabber.ietf.org

Audio feed: http://videolab.uoregon.edu/events/ietf/


Drafts: http://tools.ietf.org/wg/sidr
(tools page also has links to html agenda, jabber room, etc.)
Note Well

• http://www.ietf.org/overview.html
• Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:
  – the IETF plenary session,
  – any IETF working group or portion thereof,
  – the IESG or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG,
  – the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,
  – any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices,
  – the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function
• All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 3978 and RFC 3979.
• Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice.

Please consult RFC 3978 for details
Note that RFC 3978 is updated by RFC 4748
Administrivia

- Blue Sheets
- Minutes taker
- Jabber scribe
- Agenda bashing
Agenda

• Action Item Update – Sandy Murphy
• Architecture update – Steve Kent
• CP/CPS update – Steve Kent
• Resource Certificates update – Geoff Huston
• ROA update – Matt Lepinski
• Private AS space – Sandy Murphy
Action Items From IETF68 (1)

• Steve Kent: wants to think about the removal of text dealing with CAs issuing certs for the same resources to two different entities.
  – Status: Dunno. Did Steve think?

• Sandy Murphy: check "rsync:" as a registered URI type.
  – Status: There isn’t one. But App ADs say there should be no problem with some “third party” registering one.
  – Volunteers?
  – All: should we stick with IETF standardized protocols?

• Paul Hoffman: comment to the list about his recommendation that CPS draft be explicit regarding what text in the template can be changed.
  – Status: No comment on list. Did draft language change?
Action Items From IETF68 (2)

- Joe Abley: comment to the list re: RIPE database vs the database structure in other RIRs.
  - Status: Done. See his message of 20 Jun and followups
- Paul: raise question on the list as to whether the architecture document should be informational or standards track.
  - Status: no progress?
- Steve: add text to document re: 4 bytes ASNs.
  - Status: Done. Draft updated.
Action Items From IETF68 (3)

• All: begin a discussion of ROA prefix to UPDATE NLRI matching rules, including what happens with multiple ROAs.

• All: add to the architecture draft a discussion of uses of the certificates and ROAs.
  – Status: no progress?