EAI WG MEETING

Agenda
Vancouver, December 2007
Agenda

- 0900: Administrativia
- 0915: Status of core documents
- 0925: Outstanding issues discussion
- 1000: Downgrade document
- 1030: IMAP and POP
- 1110: Any Other Business
- 11:20: Future plans
- 11:30: Close of meeting
0900: Administrativia

- NOTE WELL
- Scribe selection
- Blue sheets
- Bash the agenda
NOTE WELL

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- the IETF plenary session,
- any IETF working group or portion thereof,
- the IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG,
- the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,
- any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices,
- the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function.

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 3978 (updated by RFC 4748) and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879). Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice.

Please consult RFC 3978 (and RFC 4748) for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.
0915: Status of core documents

- draft-ietf-eai-utf8headers-08.txt
- draft-ietf-eai-dsn-05.txt
- draft-ietf-eai-smtpext-09.txt
0925: Outstanding issues discussion

• #1507: UTF8HDR/DSN: Remove NO-WS_CTL from specification
  – Preferred: Refer to 2822bis. Timing issue.
  – Suggestion: Normative ref to 2822 for “text”, state in text that 2822bis is preferable

• #1518: UTF8HDR 4.1: Normalization isn't talked about. Should it be?
  – Refer to draft-klenasin-net-utf8 (20:0)
  – Placement at editor’s discretion. If it’s removed from section 5, section 5 can be removed.
1000: Downgrade document

- Document: draft-ietf-eai-downgrade-05.txt
- Issues:
  #1496: Simple downgrade procedure?
    - Section 8.1 in downgrade -05 is adequate.
- Breaking out Appendix A in a separate doc
  - Punt to mailing list
- Review before WG Last Call
  - Needs to be reviewed by implementors
- Hoping for WG Last Call before Christmas
1030: IMAP and POP

- IMAP: draft-ietf-eai-imap-utf8-02.txt
- POP: draft-ietf-eai-pop-02.txt
- Should we remove “upgrading”?  
  - Strong consensus among those who have an opinion that we should remove it. (IMAP section 9)

- IMAP status  
  - IMAP now uses ENABLE  
  - IMAP document ready for wider review

- POP status  
  - Mode switch, 8-commands or punt?  
  - 20:0:0. Next version will have a switch.
11:10 Any Other Business

• Discussion of whether Mailto: URL should be adopted now
  – Background document: draft-duerst-mailto-bis-04.txt (expired)
  – Question on whether we address the IRI form or the URI form
  – It’s a dependency of the List- headers work in Mailinglists

• Implementation experience
  – Possible interop tests @IETF?
  – Laptops on Wednesday
  – Mailing list: eai-implementors@alvestrand.no, eai-implementors-request@alvestrand.no to subscribe.
11:20 Future plans

• Review of action items, discussion of realistic schedule for completion of WG's work
  – December: Core to IESG
  – January: Downgrade to IESG
  – Spring IETF: POP/IMAP, others. Interop?
  – Summer: Not meet, or interop?
  – Dec 2008: Meet to put on standards track?
11:30 Close of meeting