Draft objective

Categorization and centralization of references for the "SIP services" in the scope of BLISS

1) Clarification: make sure that we discuss the same thing...
   - **Names**: 3 to 5+ names related to the same service 'category'
     e.g. Automated Handling, Call forwardings (CFx), Call diversions (CDiv),...
   - **Documents scope**
     Don't compare a service specification standardizing a complete system: user profile format, application server behaviour (e.g. TISPAN) with another one giving an example of protocol usage (e.g. draft-ietf-sipping-service-examples)
   - **Architecture differences**
     Not trying to standardize the service at IETF, still useful to separate the architecture alternatives to clarify the discussion: e.g. does an 'active intermediary' (a proxy, B2BUA, application server....) contributes to the service implementation or not?

2) Centralization & categorization of information: I want to implement this, where should I look for?
   - Requirements, frameworks
   - Call flows, examples
   - Full service specifications
Next

To do

- Some references are probably missing, could be completed with other references and inputs, e.g. coming from enterprise domain,
- update TISPAN references being transferred to 3GPP
- Improve analysis and comments on references

Open questions

- Does it belong to BLISS? Possible usage for BLISS:
  - Today: input document for WG design teams?
    some of the given references are good illustrations of 'what is done elsewhere' but is it too late?
  - Tomorrow: when BLISS work is done, reference BLISS RFCs 'a la' hitchhiker's guide?
- Publish this in another WG? on my blog?
- Extend/reduce the scope?
- Is it useful for somebody else?
- Contributors?