Notify Mailto method

- draft-ietf-sieve-notify-mailto-06.txt
- Most Ned's WGLC comments addressed, except:
  - Handling of Received headers from the original message:
    - Ned: Classify the Auto-submitted: field as a trace field and require that it appears above the copied Received: fields as a sort of boundary between the old set of Received: fields and the new.
    - Does this mean that Received headers “SHOULD be copied” or “MUST be copied”?
  - Add a parameter to Auto-submitted: that can be used to identify the email address of the owner of the Sieve script generating the notification
    - Is the value in the From: header field sufficient for tracking notification origin?
    - [Procedural] If the new parameter to Auto-submitted: is needed – in which document should it be defined?
Reject/Refuse

• WGLC complete.
• Not much feedback.
• Review comments from Ned:
  – Normative reference to RFC 2033 (LMTP), which is Informative. A standard track document can't do this without requesting an exception
    • Needs to be mentioned specially during IETF LC
  – Text in the Introduction section is misleading
    • ereject action doesn't always result in protocol level rejection
  – The draft presents ereject as a solution to blowback problem, which is an overstatement
  – Different recipients refusing the message for different reasons
    • not covered by the document
  – Recommendations about use of 8bit responses wouldn't work for some cases
    • Ned doesn't think this is worth fixing, as the use case when this breaks (passthrough MTA) is uncommon
Editheader

- Philip posted draft-ietf-sieve-editheader-10.txt
  - Aaron has spotted an incorrect deleteheader example, but the document is otherwise done
Accessing IMAP per-mailbox annotations from Sieve
(Overview)

• Currently draft-melnikov-sieve-imapext-metadata-03.txt
  – Provides ability to read per-mailbox and per-server annotations - `metadata` and `server_metadata` tests
  – Allows to test for annotation existence - `metadata_exists/server_metadata_exists` tests
  – Allows to test for mailbox existence - `mailbox_exists` test
  – Allows to explicitly create mailboxes on delivery - `:create` argument to `fileinto` action
Accessing IMAP per-mailbox annotations from Sieve (Example)

```plaintext
require ["vacation", "mboxmetadata"];

if metadata_server :is :private
   
   "/vendor/isode/vacation" "1" {
      vacation :handle "holidays" text:
      I'm on holidays and will reply
      to your mail upon return.

   .

   ;

   }
```
Accessing IMAP per-mailbox annotations from Sieve
(Next steps)

• The document is done
• More reviews needed!
Sieve Environment

- draft-freed-sieve-environment-02.txt
- This document is a normative dependency for draft-ietf-lemonade-imap-sieve-04.txt
- Recent changes
  - Updated IANA registration section
  - Updated references to published RFCs
- ToDo
  - New text is needed to allow for namespaces, e.g. for IMAP Sieve
SIP Notify method

- draft-melnikov-sieve-notify-sip-message-01.txt
- Basically similar to other existing Sieve Notification Mechanisms: mailto and xmpp.
- Uses SIMPLE extension to SIP to deliver notifications
- Open Issues:
  - MIME content type for the payload (reuse the one from draft-mahy-sieve-notify-sip?)
  - List of SIP URI parameters to be ignored and to be allowed needs review
  - Text about determining online status is unclear (advice from SIMPLE experts needed)
  - Can SIP Date header field be used for transporting notification timestamp?
iHave

- draft-freed-sieve-ihave-01.txt
- Recent changes
  - Added the “error” action that causes a runtime error with the specified text
  - Updated references to published RFCs
Sieve representation in XML

- draft-freed-sieve-in-xml-01.txt
- Recent changes:
  - Sieve comments are now represented as `<comment>` XML element, instead of XML comments
  - Updated references to published RFCs
Date-time

• Current revision is draft-freed-sieve-date-index-08
• Changes since -07:
  – Updated references to published RFCs
  – Minor editorial changes
• Ready for Last Call
Milestones - overdue

- *Again!*
- Proposed an update, but already missed some of its milestones
Other Business

- Sieve Interop during Dublin IETF?
- Have you signed the blue sheet?