Notify Mailto method

- draft-ietf-sieve-notify-mailto-06.txt
- Most Ned's WGLC comments addressed, except:
  - Handling of Received headers from the original message:
    - Ned: Classify the Auto-submitted: field as a trace field and require that it appears above the copied Received: fields as a sort of boundary between the old set of Received: fields and the new.
    - Does this mean that Received headers "SHOULD be copied" or "MUST be copied"?
  - Add a parameter to Auto-submitted: that can be used to identify the email address of the owner of the Sieve script generating the notification
    - Is the value in the From: header field sufficient for tracking notification origin?
    - [Procedural] If the new parameter to Auto-submitted: is needed – in which document should it be defined?

Reject/Refuse

- WGLC complete.
- Not much feedback.
- Review comments from Ned:
  - Normative reference to RFC 2033 (LMTP), which is Informative. A standard track document can't do this without requesting an exception
    - Needs to be mentioned specially during IETF LC
  - Text in the Introduction section is misleading
    - ereject action doesn't always result in protocol level rejection
  - The draft presents ereject as a solution to blowback problem, which is an overstatement
  - Different recipients refusing the message for different reasons
    - not covered by the document
  - Recommendations about use of 8bit responses wouldn't work for some cases
    - Ned doesn't think this is worth fixing, as the use case when this breaks (passthrough MTA) is uncommon

Editheader

- Philip posted draft-ietf-sieve-editheader-10.txt
  - Aaron has spotted an incorrect deleteheader example, but the document is otherwise done

Accessing IMAP per-mailbox annotations from Sieve (Overview)

- Currently draft-melnikov-sieve-imapext-metadata-03.txt
  - Provides ability to read per-mailbox and per-server annotations - **metadata** and **server_metadata** tests
  - Allows to test for annotation existence - **metadata_exists/server_metadata_exists** tests
  - Allows to test for mailbox existence - **mailbox_exists** test
  - Allows to explicitly create mailboxes on delivery - "**:create**" argument to **fileinto** action

Accessing IMAP per-mailbox annotations from Sieve (Example)

```
require ["vacation", "mboxmetadata"];

if metadata_server :is :private
    "/vendor/isode/vacation" "1" {
    vacation :handle "holidays" text:
  I'm on holidays and will reply
  to your mail upon return.
.
;
}
```

Accessing IMAP per-mailbox annotations from Sieve
(Next steps)

- The document is done
- <u>More reviews needed!</u>

Sieve Environment

- draft-freed-sieve-environment-02.txt
- This document is a normative dependency for **draft-ietf-lemonade-imap-sieve-04.txt**
- Recent changes
  - Updated IANA registration section
  - Updated references to published RFCs
- ToDo
  - New text is needed to allow for namespaces, e.g. for IMAP Sieve

SIP Notify method

- draft-melnikov-sieve-notify-sip-message-01.txt
- Basically similar to other existing Sieve Notification Mechanisms: mailto and xmpp.
- Uses SIMPLE extension to SIP to deliver notifications
- Open Issues:
  - MIME content type for the payload (reuse the one from draft-mahy-sieve-notify-sip?)
  - List of SIP URI parameters to be ignored and to be allowed needs review
  - Text about determining online status is unclear (advice from SIMPLE experts needed)
  - Can SIP Date header field be used for transporting notification timestamp?

iHave

- draft-freed-sieve-ihave-01.txt
- Recent changes
  - Added the "error" action that causes a runtime error with the specified text
  - Updated references to published RFCs

Sieve representation in XML

- draft-freed-sieve-in-xml-01.txt
- Recent changes:
  - Sieve comments are now represented as <comment> XML element, instead of XML comments
  - Updated references to published RFCs

Date-time

- Current revision is draft-freed-sieve-date-index-08
- Changes since -07:
  - Updated references to published RFCs
  - Minor editorial changes
- Ready for Last Call

Milestones - overdue

- ***Again!***
- Proposed an update, but already missed some of its milestones

Other Business

- **Sieve Interop during Dublin IETF?**

- Have you signed the blue sheet?