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Status Update

- Since Philadelphia (from -00 to -03):
  - 6 issues have been opened
  - 11 issues have been closed
- Currently:
  - 10 issues are being considered for closure
  - 4 issues are being discussed
- Issues are tracked at
  
  http://www.vcarddav.org/issues.xhtml
Major Changes

- Made value of TEL a URI. (e.g. “tel” scheme)
- Created PID parameter and section on synchronization.
- Created the IANA process for registering new vCard elements, with vendor namespace.
- Removed inline vCard property value.
- Added the MEMBER and RELATED properties.
Issues Being Considered for Closure (1/2)

• Some last-minute discussion on two issues.

• #152 TEL Type Definition
  – We drop the PREF parameter for all properties.
    • Is it still needed for e.g. EMAIL?
    • Do we want to specify that ordering matters?
  – We reuse group syntax instead of work/home.
    • Need to assign “meaning” to special group names.
  – Restrict TYPE parameter value to this set:
    • "VOICE" / "VIDEO" / "TEXT" / "FAX" / "CELL" / "PAGER"
      / iana-token / x-token
Issues Being Considered for Closure (2/2)

- #236 Suggestion for allowance of truncated date format in BDAY
  - There appears to be consensus that we want to allow dates to be truncated and have reduced precision.
  - Need to decide on which properties it makes sense.
  - Need to decide whether to specify ISO 8601:2000 or ISO 8601:2004 with truncation exception in special cases.
Issues Being Discussed (1/2)

• #171 Per-property UID
  – Will be discussed after this presentation.

• #225 Mandatory UID property
  – At first it seemed like UID would be mandatory.
  – What about devices that cannot preserve it?
    • Do they just create a new dummy one?
    • Are they simply deemed non-compliant?
  – SHOULD or MUST?
Issues Being Discussed (2/2)

- #306 Define the cardinality of each property
  - PIDs need not be applied to properties that may appear only once.
  - We need to define which are these. Some text will be written to that effect.

- #307 Replace AGENT with a type of RELATED
  - Instead of a separate property, we could have:

    RELATED;type=agent:http://bottin.example.com/...